Cruise Report ZDLT1-07-2013

Square mesh panel (SMP) trials - 2



Marie-Julie Roux, Paul Brewin, Lars Jürgens, Andreas Winter and Ross James

Falkland Islands Government Directorate of Nature Resources Fisheries Department Stanley, Falkland Islands



Participating Scientific Staff

Dr. Marie-Julie Roux Dr. Paul Brewin Lars Jürgens Zhanna Shcherbich Alberto Monllor Eva Visauta Ross James

Acknowledgements

We thank Captain Jose Vincente Santos Reiriz and officers and crew of the *FV Castelo* for all of their help.

Cover Photo Credit: FV Castelo

© Crown Copyright 2013 No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior permission from the Falkland Islands Government Fisheries Department.

For citation purposes this publication should be referenced as follows:

Roux M-J et al (2013). Scientific Report, Fisheries Cruise ZDLT1-07-2013. Stanley, Fisheries Department, Directorate of Natural Resources, Falkland Islands Government.

Contents

Contents	3
1.0 Introduction	4
1.1 Cruise objectives	6
2.0 Methods	6
2.1 Research Vessel and Survey Area	6
2.2 Trawl gear	6
2.3 Experimental design	
2.4 Biological sampling	11
2.5 Kingclip Conversion Factor analysis	11
2.6 Data Analyses	12
2.6 Oceanography	15
3.0 Results	16
3.1 Oceanography	16
3.2 Catch composition	16
3.3 Codend-SMP trials	
3.3.1 Catch rates	
3.3.2 Length structure and relative selectivity	
3.3.3 Summary of findings	
3.4 Kingclip conversion factor analysis	41
4.0 General conclusions and recommendations	42
5.0 Addendum: Seabird Mortality	43
6.0 References	44
Appendix 1: Individual hauls parameters estimates of the double-logi	stic
function used to describe selectivity at length in M. hubbsi (hake), G.	blacodes
(kingclip) and <i>P. ramsayi</i> (rock cod)	46
Appendix 2: Stepwise method for Transferring Fishboard Data via Bl	uetooth
(Long Board)	48
Appendix 3: Recommended FIFD conversion factors	49

1.0 Introduction

Rock cod *Patagonotothen ramsayi* has been the most important target species in Falkland Islands finfish fisheries since 2008. Total annual catches averaged 63,000 t in 2008-2012, making the species second in importance (by weight) behind *Illex* and *Loligo* squid in the Falkland fishery (Falkland Islands Government 2013). It is also the highest volume discard species – accounting for 88%-96% of reported discards in 2010-2012. Incidental catches of small, immature rock cod below the minimum commercial size of 25 cm total length are currently occurring at a rate that may impact fishery sustainability (FIFD 2013). To ensure stock conservation, the Falkland Islands Fisheries Department has undertaken a series of experimental trials to assess whether modifications to fishing gear could improve size selectivity for rock cod and other commercial species.

A first series of trials investigated trawl codend mesh sizes to reduce by-catch of undersized rock cod (Brickle and Winter 2011, Roux et al. 2012a, Roux et al 2012b). Four diamond mesh sizes were assessed during three experimental surveys: 90 mm mesh (currently the minimum allowable codend mesh size for finfish trawlers in Falkland waters) and the larger 110 mm, 120 mm and 140 mm mesh sizes. Results from these trials demonstrated that a 90 mm diamond mesh codend has poor selectivity. Between 27% and 63% of rock cod caught using the 90 mm mesh were undersized (< 25 cm) fish. An increase in mesh size to 120 mm significantly reduced by-catch of small rock cod, with reductions in discard rates ranging 65%-83% in 120 mm relative to 90 mm mesh. However the larger (120 mm) mesh size also caused a reduction in total catch and commercial-size catch of rock cod. Reductions in total CPUE in 120 mm relative to 90 mm mesh averaged 35% in mixed species (< 50% rock cod and > 50% mixed finfish) trials (April 2012) and 72% when rock cod accounted for $\ge 50\%$ of the catch (October 2012 trials). The 110 mm mesh yielded intermediate results, with average reductions in CPUE between 9% (April 2012 trials) and 44% (October 2012 trials) and a consistent reduction in discard rates of undersized rock cod equivalent to 43% among trials.

Following this, an additional set of experimental trials was designed to assess whether a trawl equipped with a 110 mm diamond mesh codend and fitted with a square mesh panel (SMP) might provide a better alternative for reducing by-catch of undersized fish while sustaining fishery efficiency. SMP use in demersal trawls has been shown to facilitate the escapement of

juvenile fish (Broadhurst and Kennelly 1996, Graham and Kynoch 2001, Graham et al. 2003, O'Neill et al. 2006, Bullough et al. 2007, MacBeth et al. 2012).

A first series of SMP trials was conducted in February 2013. A 40-mm mesh size SMP was selected for trials based on rock cod length-girth relationships (Roux et al. 2012b). Results demonstrated that a SMP located inside a 110 mm mesh codend improved selectivity for rock cod and reduced catch of undersized fish by 44% on average relative to a 110 mm mesh codend without SMP (Roux et al. 2013). SMP performance was affected by total catch and by SMP dimensions and positioning. Trawls equipped with a SMP in the net extension had no influence on rock cod selectivity or fishery efficiency. When rock cod accounted for > 50% of the catch, reductions in total catch in 110 mm mesh codend+SMP averaged 33%. This is lower than the 71% reduction in total catch observed in the 120 mm relative to 110 mm mesh codend. The study concluded that a 40-mm mesh SMP located inside a 110-mm diamond mesh codend reduced bycatch of undersized rock cod while retaining commercial-size fish (Roux et al. 2013). Further experimentation was recommended to assess the effect of two different codend-SMP configurations on SMP performance.

This report presents the results of a second series of codend-SMP trials conducted under mixed-species conditions in July 2013. Catch rates (CPUE) and selectivity assessment are presented for the main commercial species: hake *Merluccius hubbsi*, kingclip *Genypterus blacode*, rock cod *Patagonotothen ramsayi* and skates *Bathyraja* spp.

1.1 Cruise objectives

- 1. To experimentally trial two different codend-SMP configurations.
- To compare CPUE and selectivity for commercial species between control trawls and SMP configurations.
- 3. To carry out an oceanographic survey of the fishing areas used for trials.
- 4. To collect biological information for ageing purposes and dietary/food web studies.

2.0 Methods

2.1 Research Vessel and Survey Area

Research was conducted onboard *FV Castelo* (total length 67.78 m, GRT 1,321) between July 2-13 2013. The same vessel was used during previous mesh size and SMP trials (Brickle and Winter 2011, Roux et al. 2012a and 2012b, Roux et al 2013). Trials were conducted on finfish fishing grounds on the north and north-western shelf areas (Fig 2.1). Fishing locations were selected based on catch reports from finfish trawlers during weeks preceding the cruise and by consultation with the captain. A total of 30 trawl stations (hauls) were completed during codend trials and 9 oceanographic (CTD) stations (Table 2.1). An additional three hauls (stations 1140-1142) were conducted in order to capture live frogmouth *Cottoperca gobio* on the morning of July 13 (Table 2.1). These hauls are not discussed further in this report.

2.2 Trawl gear

A bottom trawl equipped with 1,800 kg Oval-Foil doors (OF-14) was used at all stations. No ground gear (e.g. bobbins/rockhoppers) was used. The footrope consisted of a cable protected by cord. An 8 m length of chain weighting 150 kg was attached to the footrope to increase contact between the footrope and the sea bed. See Brickle and Winter (2011) for net configuration details. Bridle length was 220 m. Door spread varied 173-205 m among hauls. Net horizontal/vertical openings varied 49-62 m and 2.8-4.1 m, respectively.



Figure 2.1. Cruise track, start location of fishing hauls, and CTD stations.

Table 2.1 Trawl and Oceanographic stations on ZDLT1-07-2013. Location information (Lat, Lon, Course) are relative to start time. Activity B = bottom trawl; Activity C = CTD. Codend configurations: 'Control' = standard 110-mm diamond mesh codend; SMP-window = 110-mm diamond mesh codend with 2-m long SMP in top panel. SMP-Santos = 110-mm diamond mesh codend with 17-m long SMP in top panel.

Station	Date	Time Start	Lat (oS)	Lon (oW)	Course	Duration (min)	Depth (m)	Activity	Codend	Comments
1101	03/07/2013	8:05 AM	49.80	60.68	335	180	166	В	SMP-window	
1102	03/07/2013	12:20 PM	49.55	60.72	316	180	171	В	SMP-Santos	
1103	03/07/2013	4:03 PM					169	С		
1104	03/07/2013	4:45 PM	49.38	60.93	335	180	174	В	Control	
1105	04/07/2013	6:50 AM	49.20	61.01	200	180	169	В	Control	
1106	04/07/2013	10:55 AM	49.43	61.09	210	180	170	В	SMP-window	
1107	04/07/2013	2:25 PM					164	С		
1108	04/07/2013	3:05 PM	49.66	61.16	190	180	164	В	SMP-Santos	
1109	05/07/2013	6:55 AM	49.93	61.12	215	180	160	В	SMP-Santos	
1110	05/07/2013	11:00 AM	50.13	61.32	215	180	159	В	Control	
1111	05/07/2013	2:29 PM					159	С		
1112	05/07/2013	3:05 PM	50.34	61.44	210	180	166	В	SMP-window	Damaged codend
1113	06/07/2013	6:55 AM	50.41	61.45	270	180	164	В	SMP-window	
1114	06/07/2013	10:50 AM	50.43	61.79	265	180	161	В	SMP-Santos	Clogged Net
1115	06/07/2013	2:25 PM					163	С		
1116	06/07/2013	3:15 PM	50.36	61.99	45	180	159	В	Control	
1117	07/07/2013	6:55 AM	50.35	61.82	235	180	165	В	Control	
1118	07/07/2013	10:55 AM	50.47	62.03	30	180	162	В	SMP-window	
1119	07/07/2013	2:23 PM					159	С		
1120	07/07/2013	3:15 PM	50.37	61.79	225	180	171	В	SMP-Santos	
1121	08/07/2013	6:55 AM	50.27	62.38	220	180	152	В	SMP-Santos	
1122	08/07/2013	10:50 AM	50.48	62.51	205	180	158	В	SMP-window	
1123	08/07/2013	2:18 PM					161	С		
1124	08/07/2013	2:45 PM	50.67	62.49	75	180	166	В	SMP-window	
1125	09/07/2013	6:50 AM	50.49	61.84	30	180	170	В	Control	
1126	09/07/2013	11:30 AM	50.29	61.62	25	180	159	В	SMP-Santos	
1127	09/07/2013	2:58 PM					156	С		
1128	09/07/2013	3:30 PM	50.06	61.41	15	180	158	В	SMP-window	
1129	10/07/2013	6:50 AM	49.75	61.14	85	180	164	В	SMP-window	
1130	10/07/2013	10:50 AM	49.75	60.77	70	180	166	В	Control	
1131	10/07/2013	2:21 PM					168	С		
1132	10/07/2013	2:50 PM	49.73	60.50	300	180	169	В	SMP-Santos	
1133	11/07/2013	6:45 AM	49.59	60.90	340	180	165	В	SMP-Santos	
1134	11/07/2013	10:45 AM	49.40	61.13	235	180	162	В	Control	
1135	11/07/2013	2:11 PM					159	С		
1136	11/07/2013	2:50 PM	49.60	61.33	186	180	159	В	SMP-window	
1137	12/07/2013	6:30 AM	49.64	61.65	135	180	157	В	SMP-window	mixed catchweight
1138	12/07/2013	10:25 AM	49.83	61.40	125	180	159	В	SMP-Santos	mixed catchweight
1139	12/07/2013	2:30 PM	50.03	61.11	130	180	160	В	Control	
1140	13/07/2013	6:25 AM	51.44	57.69	145	30	54	В	Control	Frogmouth trials
1141	13/07/2013	8:00 AM	51.54	57.63	170	30	73	В	Control	Frogmouth trials
1142	13/07/2013	9:00 AM	51.56	57.62	290	30	56	В	Control	Frogmouth trials

2.3 Experimental design

Three trawls were conducted daily. A first trawl was on the seabed around 6:30-7:00AM (morning hauls), a second between 10:00-11:00AM (mid-day hauls) and a third between 2:00-3:00PM (afternoon hauls). Only on day 1 were starting times delayed by more than one hour. Trawl duration was 3 hours. Trawl speed varied 3.1-4.1 knots. Trawl operations were paralleled by an oceanographic survey of the fishing areas that consisted in daily vertical

water profiling (CTD) stations conducted immediately after the mid-day haul (except for the last day when no CTD station was done).

Trials were conducted using three different trawl configurations: two codends with top panels modified by SMP addition and a control (110-mm diamond mesh without modification). A first experimental codend was fitted with a 2-m long, 40-mm square mesh panel positioned from 6 to 8 m forward of the codline (Fig 2.2A). This configuration, described as 'SMP' by Roux et al. (2013) is referred to as 'SMP-window' in the present document. The second experimental codend was fitted with 17-m \times 40-mm square mesh beginning 10-m forward of the codline (Fig 2.2B). This configuration was proposed by Castelo captain Santos Reiriz as a means to reduce catch size effects on square mesh performance under commercial conditions. It is referred to as 'SMP-Santos' in the present document. The SMP consisted of approximately 10-mm diameter polyethylene single thread and the 110-mm diamond mesh was made of 5 mm double thread.

The total length of each codend was 27-m. Top panel width was approximately 67 diamond meshes. Corresponding SMP width was 24 square meshes (Fig 2.2). Diamond and square mesh were joined together by alternatively tying two and three diamond meshes to each square mesh (2:1-3:1 sequence (Fig. 2.3)). To ensure that the square mesh remained tight and stretched, the SMP-Santos codend was adjusted during the trials by re-fitting 28 square meshes per 10 diamond meshes in the length direction. The three codends/trawl configurations were fished every day (expect for one day) and alternated for different time of day (morning, mid-day and afternoon hauls).



Figure 2.2. Codend-SMP configurations (modified top panels) tested during February 2013 trials. A) 'SMP-Window' configuration: 2-m long square mesh panel inserted between 6-8 m from the codline. B) 'SMP-Santos' configuration: 17-m of square mesh beginning 10-m from the codline.



Figure 2.3. Attachment pattern for diamond and square mesh inside the codend. Two and three diamonds were alternatively attached to each square mesh (2:1, 3:1 sequence).

2.4 Biological sampling

Catch weights of hake, the most abundant catch species, were estimated as factory process weights multiplied by the FIFD conversion factor (1.9). No hake was discarded during the cruise. All other fish and squid were weighed by species using an electronic marine adjusted balance (POLS). Discard weight and total catch of rock cod were estimated for one haul (station 1138) following the procedure described in Roux et al. (2013).

Length frequency samples of commercially important species (200 specimens of rock cod and 100 specimens of all other species) were taken. The preferred method of sampling was random. However when the catch was small, all available specimens were opportunistically sampled. Length (L_T , L_M , L_{PA} and L_{DW}), sex and maturity stage were recorded for all specimens. A Bluetooth data importation method developed by R. James for length data collected using the Scantrol electronic FISHMETER is shown in Appendix 2.

Stomach contents were examined on a total of 770 specimens of fish, skates and dogfish from 8 different species. Stomach sampling involved recording length, sex and numerical abundance of different prey items in stomachs (identified to lowest possible taxonomic level). Prey length was recorded whenever possible. Samples of stomach contents were preserved for identification.

Otoliths were collected from 1044 fish specimens from 13 different species. Vertebrae were collected from 20 *Squalus acanthias* specimens. Statoliths were collected from one *Semirossia patagonica* and one *Moroteuthis ingens* specimens. All specimens sampled for otoliths/vertebrae/statoliths were simultaneously sampled for length, weight, sex and maturity.

2.5 Kingclip Conversion Factor analysis

FIFD calculates catch (green weight) using a conversion factor (CF) for each species and processing method multiplied by factory process weight. A summary sheet of CFs is provided during licence briefings (Appendix 3). All catch reports of the fishing vessels are based on calculations using FIFD-statutory CFs. Fisheries observers collect processed and unprocessed

catch weight data each year on commercial fishing vessels to control the CFs and update as necessary.

During this research cruise, the scientific team noticed that the vessel's calculated catch report of *Genypterus blacodes* (KIN) was consistently higher than the real weight of the whole catch. The FIFD conversion factor used by the ship was 2.3. The discrepancy between weighed catch and calculated catch was examined in three trials.

Method

In accordance with survey protocol, all kingclip were weighed for the research catch log. Samples were taken for biological analysis and then processed by the factory crew. Kingclip were headed, gutted and tailed (HGT) using a Baader 424 and a circular saw. The trunk was gutted by hand and washed in a washing drum with sea water. After weighing, the trunks were wrapped in plastic foil and packed in carton boxes. The total weight of the packed boxes was 16kg. Kingclip were never observed to have been discarded or missing from the factory.

To calculate CFs for kingclip "Approach 1" of the FIFD Scientific Observer manual (Section2-Conversion Factor Guide, 2010) was used. The green weight and the processed weight were recorded from the same individuals. Before processing all individuals were counted and weighed except for station 1137 where the number of individuals was estimated from a random sample of the length frequency sample. After processing, the trunks were recounted and re-weighed.

The total CF was calculated by dividing the total green weight by the total processed weight. The CF "per trunk" was calculated by dividing the mean green weight of individual fish by the mean processed weight of the trunk, thus minimizing potential bias occurring as a result of misprocessing. The FIFD statutory CFs are based on total CF evaluation.

2.6 Data Analyses

The performance of square mesh panel configurations in trawl codend was assessed by comparing standardized catch rates (CPUE (kg hr⁻¹)), species-specific length structures and relative selectivity between control, SMP-window and SMP-Santos hauls.

Catch rates (CPUE)

Effects of SMP configurations on total and species-specific CPUE were assessed using generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) assuming log-normal errors. This type of model was chosen to handle over-dispersion in the data caused by random day-to-day variability in catch size/composition as well as by sampling design. CPUE data were log-transformed (base 10) for analyses. SMP-codend configuration was used as fixed effect. Sampling day, time-of-day and trawling depth were included as potential random effects. Sampling day corresponded to calendar dates. Time-of-day was used as a 3-levels factor distinguishing between morning, mid-day and afternoon hauls. Depth corresponded to modal trawling depth. All GLMM were fitted using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation. A backward model selection procedure was used starting with the saturated model (i.e. inclusion of all potential random effects) and progressive removals of non-significant terms. Model selection was done by minimizing the Bayesian (BIC) information criterion (Bolker et al 2008). Fixed effect significance was assessed using Wald chi-square (χ^2) tests (Bolker et al 2008).

Length structure

Length structures were described among trawl configurations in terms of median, first and third quartiles, mean and modal lengths. Counts of fish per 1-cm length intervals in each haul were smoothed by treatment (SMP-codend configuration) using generalized additive models (GAM). Only hauls for which \geq 100 specimens were sampled for length were considered. Differences in mean length among SMP-codend configurations were assessed using Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests with Bonferroni-adjusted Wilcoxon rank sum tests for pairwise comparisons.

Relative selectivity

A four-parameter double-logistic function (combining an increasing and a decreasing logistic curve) was used to estimate relative selectivity at length (S_L) (equation 2.1).

$$\mathbf{S}_{L} = \left[1 / (1 + e^{(s1(L - p1))})\right] * \left[1 - 1 / (1 + e^{(s2(L - p2))})\right]$$
(2.1)

Where L is length, p1 and p2 are inflexion points corresponding to lengths of 50% retention and s1 and s2 are slope parameters. This function allows great flexibility in the shape of selectivity curves (Quinn and Deriso 1999). When discussing model outputs, p2 is referred to as the minimum length of 50% retention (L_{50}^{-1}) and p1 is the maximum length of 50% retention (L_{50}^{-2}) . Length classes comprised between L_{50}^{-1} and L_{50}^{-2} correspond to the size range of \geq 50% retention.

Counts of fish per 1-cm length class in haul 'i' (F_{Li}) were related to total sample size (TFreq_i) (equation 2.2) and maximized over area 'j'. Selectivity assessment was restricted to a representative size range corresponding to length classes encountered in \geq 10 hauls. The 'available population' was defined as the maximum number of fish per length class 'L' among hauls 'i' in area 'j' (MaxF_{Lj}). Area 'j' in this case corresponded to the entire survey area. The available selection curve was used to estimate relative selectivity at length (S_{Lij}) (equation 2.3).

$$F_{Li} = Freq_i / TFreq_i \tag{2.2}$$

$$S_{Lij} = F_{Li} / Max F_{Lj} \tag{2.3}$$

The double-logistic function was fitted to S_{Lij} data from individual hauls and to treatmentspecific data (i.e. including data from all hauls performed using a specific trawl configuration). Fitting was done by minimizing the residuals sum of squares using general purpose Nelder-Mead optimization. Initial parameters values were determined based on visual inspection of the available selection curve. Differences in the minimum length of 50% retention (L_{50}^{-1}) among SMP-codend configurations were assessed using the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test. Pairwise comparisons were conducted using the Wilcoxon rank sum test with Bonferroni adjustment.

All statistical analyses were implemented in 'R' software (R Core Development Team 2012). Specific packages used were 'lme4' (GLMM), 'car' (Wald chi-square test) and 'mgcv' (GAM).

2.6 Oceanography

A conductivity-temperature-depth logger (CTD, SBE-25, Sea-Bird Electronics Inc., Bellevue, WA, USA) was deployed from the surface to 1-20 m above the bottom to obtain profiles of temperature (°C), conductivity (S/m), and pressure (db). The CTD was deployed for the first one minute at about 10-11 m depth. It was then retrieved to 3 m depth and deployed to the bottom. The speed of deployment was c. 1m/s and was monitored by wire counter. Profiles of salinity (PSS-78 Practical Salinity) and depth (m) were derived in Seasoft v7.22.5 (Sea-Bird Electronics Inc). Derived potential density (kg m⁻³), CTD profiles and T-S plots were done in the 'R' (R Core Development Team 2012) "oce" package (v0.9-12). Algorithms for all derived variables are based on standard techniques found in Fofonoff and Millard (1983).

3.0 Results

3.1 Oceanography

Oceanographic data were collected at 9 stations (Fig. 2.1). Temperature of surface waters varied from 6.57 °C towards the north to 7.04°C towards the south. Bottom temperature varied between 6.02°C towards the north and 7.04°C towards the south (Fig 3.1). Surface salinities showed a similar latitudinal trend, ranging between 33.92 psu in the north and 33.67 psu towards the south. There was little apparent latitudinal trend in bottom water salinities, with a more longitudinal trend evident, with highest salinities in the east (33.96 psu) and lowest in the west (33.70 psu). Surface and bottom water densities followed a similar trend to salinity (Fig. 3.1). Water column stratification varied both in terms of depth of the cline (approximately 100m), and gradient across the cline. In some instances, the water column appeared fully mixed to the maximum depth of the profile (Fig 3.1).

Arkhipkin et al (In Review) reviewed the oceanography of the Falklands region. In the present study, all stations showed a strong presence of Falkland Shelf Waters, with evidence of sub-Antarctic Surface Water in northern and mid-latitude stations (Fig. 3.2). An influence of Patagonian Shelf water was seen in southern stations, indicating a southern extension of the Argentinean Drift current (Fig. 3.2), and in part, potentially explaining observed density profiles above.

3.2 Catch composition

Total catch, sample, and discard weights by species for Control, SMP-Santos and SMP-Windows treatments are summarized Tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.2 respectively. Total catch for SMP-Santos configurations was 43,242 kg, while total catches for the other 2 configurations were somewhat less (SMP-Window – 36,152 kg, Control – 33,684 kg), despite having similar number of trawls per treatments (Table 2.1). Differences in total catch cannot be attributed to any increased or reduced catches in any one particular species.







Figure 3.1 Depth profiles of A) temperature, B) salinity and C) density at all stations. Stations are ordered in the legend with respect to increasing southern latitude.



Fig 3.2. T/S plots of all stations with identification of water masses in July 2013. Stations are ordered in the legend with respect to increasing southern latitude. Potential density isopycnals (kg/m³) are overlaid on the plot. SASW – Sub-Antarctic Superficial Water.

A total of 81 species (or species groups) were collected throughout all stations; 64 taxa recorded among Control treatments, 66 among SMP-Santos treatments, and 67 in SMP-Windows treatments, varying only in the less frequent species. Among treatments, 90% of the catch composition by weight was distributed among only 12 species; *Merluccius hubbsi* (hake), *Genypterus blacodes* (kingclip), *Patagonotothen ramsayi* (rockcod), *Salilota australis* (red cod), *Macruronus magellanicus* (hoki), *Cottoperca gobio* (frogmouth), *Squalus acanthias* (dogfish), and the skates *Bathyraja brachyurops*, *Zearaja chilensis*, *Bathyraja albomaculata* (Tables 3.1 – 3.3).

Species code	Species name	Catch Wt	Sample Wt	Discard Wt	Catch Proportion (%)
HAK	Merluccius hubbsi	15932.52	1042.42	10.00	47.30
KIN	Genypterus blacodes	4514.20	1084.42	0.00	13.40
RBR	Bathyraja brachyurops	3582.44	1566.85	222.59	10.64
RFL	Zearaja chilensis	2269.11	1639.93	125.00	6.74
PAR	Patagonotothen ramsayi	1882.42	316.07	779.57	5.59
BAC	Salilota australis	1738.78	124.37	51.93	5.16
WHI	Macruronus magellanicus	892.01	195.37	7.23	2.65
RMC	Bathyraja macloviana	630.16	518.53	332.12	1.87
DGS	Squalus acanthias	577.35	0.00	545.35	1.71
RPX	Psammobatis spp.	408.18	59.29	408.18	1.21
RAL	Bathyraja albomaculata	338.59	338.59	77.25	1.01
ILL	Illex argentinus	193.00	176.83	30.36	0.57
CGO	Cottoperca gobio	155.79	30.52	155.79	0.46
SPN	Porifera	119.09	0.00	119.09	0.35
MED	Medusae	113.19	0.00	113.19	0.34
DGH	Schroederichthys bivius	104.51	0.00	104.51	0.31
BUT	Stromateus brasiliensis	53.00	0.00	53.00	0.16
RDO	Amblyraja doellojuradoi	42.66	42.66	42.66	0.13
RMU	Bathyraja multispinis	35.09	35.09	10.00	0.10
COP	Congiopodus peruvianus	26.64	0.00	26.64	0.08
ING	Illex argentinus	13.85	0.00	13.85	0.04
LOL	Loligo gahi	8.32	0.00	8.32	0.02
RGR	Bathyraja griseocauda	7.86	7.86	7.86	0.02
OCM	Octopus megalocyathus	7.35	0.00	7.35	0.02
GOC	Gorgonocephalas chilensis	6.75	0.00	6.75	0.02
NEM	Neophyrnichthys marmoratus	3.81	0.00	3.81	0.01
ТОО	Dissostichus eleainoides	2.97	2.97	0.00	0.01
AUL	Austrolvcus laticinctus	2.65	0.00	2.65	<0.01
ODM	Odontocvmbiola magellanica	2.55	0.00	2.55	<0.01
CAZ	Calvptraster sp.	2.41	0.00	2.41	<0.01
ANM	Anemone	2.31	0.00	2.31	<0.01
STA	Sterechinus agassizi	1.59	0.00	1.59	<0.01
RED	Sebastes oculatus	1.31	1.31	0.00	<0.01
FUM	Fusitriton magellanicus	1.19	0.00	1.19	<0.01
RSC	Bathvraia scaphiops	1.15	1.15	0.75	<0.01
SQT	Ascidiacea	1.12	0.00	1.12	<0.01
MUE	Muusoctopus eureka	1.06	0.00	1.06	<0.01
HYD	Hydrozoa	1.00	0.00	1.00	<0.01
AUC	Austrocidaris canaliculata	0.88	0.00	0.88	<0.01
RBZ	Bathvraia cousseauae	0.85	0.85	0.85	<0.01
SEC	Seriolella caerulea	0.80	0.00	0.80	<0.01
COL	Cosmasterias lurida	0.59	0.00	0.59	<0.01
EGG	Skate egg case	0.54	0.00	0.54	<0.01
GRF	Coelorhvnchus fasciatus	0.41	0.00	0.41	<0.01
EUO	Eurvpodius Ionairostris	0.40	0.00	0.40	<0.01
EUL	Eurvpodius latreillei	0.33	0.00	0.33	<0.01
POA	Porania antarctica	0.22	0.00	0.22	<0.01
SAR	Sprattus fuegensis	0.20	0.00	0.20	<0.01
CEX	Ceramaster sp.	0.12	0.00	0.12	<0.01
SOR	Solaster regularis	0.12	0.00	0.12	<0.01
SHT	Mixed invertebrates	0.10	0.00	0.10	<0.01
MUG	Munida gregaria	0.09	0.00	0.09	<0.01
THO	Thouarellinae	0.09	0.00	0.09	<0.01
СҮХ	Cvcethra sp.	0.08	0.00	0.08	<0.01
AST	Asteroidea	0.05	0.00	0.05	<0.01
SUN	Labidaster radiosus	0.05	0.00	0.05	<0.01
ASA	Astrotoma agassizii	0.03	0.00	0.03	<0.01
POL	Polychaeta	0.03	0.00	0.03	<0.01
PES	Peltarion spinosulum	0.02	0.00	0.02	<0.01
BER	Berthella spp.	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
OCT	Octopus spp.	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
OPH	Ophiuroidea	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
PYX	Pycnogonida	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
MUN	Munida spp.	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
Totals	••	33684.03	7185.08	3285.08	-

Table 3.1 Catch composition, sample, and discard weights (kg) and catch proportion for the Controltreatment. Species are ordered by increasing catch proportion.

Species code	Species name	Catch Wt	Sample Wt	Discard Wt	Catch Proportion (%)
HAK	Merluccius hubbsi	23279.23	1334.75	0.00	53.83
KIN	Genvpterus blacodes	4148.30	1283.24	0.00	9.59
PAR	Patagonotothen ramsavi	3863.64	305.11	2663.84	8.93
RBR	Bathvraia brachvurops	3388.18	1545.86	170.00	7.84
BAC	Salilota australis	2649.74	232.88	111.58	6.13
RFL	Zearaja chilensis	1954.33	1662.60	75.00	4.52
RMC	Bathyraja macloviana	916.67	784.59	527.07	2.12
WHI	Macruronus magellanicus	615.70	40.84	20.72	1.42
RPX	Psammobatis spp.	521.09	28.99	521.09	1.21
ILL	Illex argentinus	421.46	381.42	128.02	0.97
RAL	Bathyraja albomaculata	388.82	365.63	25.65	0.90
CGO	Cottoperca gobio	253.51	43.01	253.51	0.59
DGS	Squalus acanthias	212.05	29.73	212.05	0.49
SPN	Porifera	161.13	0.00	161.13	0.37
DGH	Schroederichthys bivius	114.45	0.00	114.45	0.26
RGR	Bathyraja griseocauda	87.78	87.78	0.88	0.20
RDO	Amblyraja doellojuradoi	60.56	60.56	60.56	0.14
MED	Medusae	44.58	0.00	44.58	0.10
BUI	Stromateus brasiliensis	36.88	0.00	36.88	0.09
RMU	Bathyraja multispinis	28.88	28.88	1.49	0.07
GOU	Gorgonocephalas chilensis	12.98	0.63	12.98	0.03
	Octopus megalocyathus	12.91	0.00	0.91	0.03
	Moroteutnis ingens	10.67	0.00	10.67	0.02
	Congionoduo por vionuo	9.70	0.00	9.70	0.02
	Loligo gabi	5 70	0.23	5.70	0.01
	Muusoctopus longibrachus akamt	5.79	0.00	0.00	0.01
RSC	Rathyraia scanhions	4 69	4 69	3 59	0.01
RED	Sebastes oculatus	4 51	2.34	2.68	0.01
FUM	Fusitriton m. magellanicus	4.13	0.00	4.13	<0.01
CAZ	Calvptraster sp.	3.03	0.00	3.03	<0.01
SEC	Seriolella caerulea	2.89	0.75	2.89	<0.01
SQT	Ascidiacea	2.60	0.00	2.60	<0.01
ANM	Anemone	1.95	0.00	1.95	<0.01
ODM	Odontocymbiola magellanica	1.94	0.00	1.94	<0.01
COL	Cosmasterias Iurida	1.16	0.00	1.16	<0.01
MUE	Muusoctopus eureka	0.95	0.00	0.95	<0.01
EGG	Skate egg case	0.76	0.00	0.76	<0.01
тоо	Dissostichus eleginoides	0.72	0.00	0.00	<0.01
SHT	Mixed invertebrates	0.43	0.00	0.43	<0.01
EUL	Eurypodius latreillei	0.31	0.00	0.31	<0.01
AST	Asteroidea	0.27	0.00	0.27	<0.01
STA	Sterechinus agassizi	0.26	0.00	0.26	<0.01
CEX	Ceramaster sp.	0.22	0.00	0.22	<0.01
SOR	Solaster regularis	0.17	0.00	0.17	<0.01
THU	I nouarellinae	0.16	0.00	0.16	<0.01
PUA	Porania antarctica Mieromogiativo quatrolia	0.15	0.00	0.15	<0.01
	Magallania vanana	0.10	0.00	0.10	<0.01
	Ophiuroidoo	0.10	0.00	0.10	<0.01
MUN	Munida son	0.08	0.00	0.08	<0.01
AUC	Austrocidaris canaliculata	0.00	0.00	0.00	<0.01
BAO	Bathybiaster loripes	0.05	0.00	0.05	<0.01
MUG	Munida gregaria	0.03	0.00	0.03	<0.01
СТА	Ctenodiscus australis	0.02	0.00	0.02	<0.01
CYX	Cycethra sp.	0.02	0.00	0.02	<0.01
EUO	Eurypodius longirostris	0.02	0.02	0.02	<0.01
RMG	Bathyraja magellanica	0.02	0.00	0.02	<0.01
SUN	Labidaster radiosus	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
MUS	Smooth mussel	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
MUU	Munida subrugosa	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
OPD	Ophiacantha densispina	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
OPL	Ophiuroglypha lymanii	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
POL	Polychaeta	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
PRI	Priapulida	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
PYX	Pycnogonida	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
Totals		43242.77	8224.55	5209.22	

Table 3.2 Catch composition, sample, and discard weights (kg) and catch proportion for the SMP-Santos treatment. Species are ordered by increasing catch proportion.

Species code	Species name	Catch Wt	Sample Wt	Discard Wt	Catch Proportion (%)
HAK	Merluccius hubbsi	21006.91	1511.98	0.00	58.11
RBR	Bathyraja brachyurops	4416.64	1703.92	170.00	12.22
RFL	Zearaja chilensis	2868.59	2077.55	228.16	7.93
KIN	Genypterus blacodes	1764.62	816.55	0.00	4.88
PAR	Patagonotothen ramsayi	1698.11	412.83	1040.06	4.70
RMC	Bathyraja macloviana	814.99	726.42	583.16	2.25
DGS	Squalus acanthias	627.31	98.74	627.31	1.74
WHI	Macruronus magellanicus	550.87	165.86	78.55	1.52
RPX	Psammobatis spp.	474.28	31.46	474.28	1.31
CGO	Cottoperca gobio	419.85	45.71	419.85	1.16
RAL	Bathyraja albomaculata	394.66	394.66	15.00	1.09
MED	Medusae	252.41	0.00	252.41	0.70
ILL	Illex argentinus	250.53	198.58	72.39	0.69
SPN	Porifera	164.55	0.00	164.55	0.46
DGH	Schroederichthys bivius	164.07	0.00	164.07	0.45
BAC	Salilota australis	67.82	17.08	42.02	0.19
RDO	Amblyraja doellojuradoi	40.40	40.40	40.40	0.11
RMU	Bathyraja multispinis	24.33	24.33	5.68	0.07
COP	Congiopodus peruvianus	22.07	0.00	22.07	0.06
BUT	Stromateus brasiliensis	18.83	0.00	18.83	0.05
ING	Moroteuthis ingens	11.92	0.00	11.92	0.03
RGR	Bathyraja griseocauda	10.19	10.19	0.00	0.03
LOL	Loligo gahi	8.99	0.00	8.99	0.02
NEM	Neophyrnichthys marmoratus	8.90	0.00	8.90	0.02
GOC	Gorgonocephalas chilensis	8.48	0.00	8.48	0.02
AST	Asteroidea	6.94	0.00	6.94	0.02
TOO	Dissostichus eleginoides	6.04	6.04	0.00	0.02
RED	Sebastes oculatus	5.49	5.49	0.00	0.02
CAZ	Calyptraster sp.	4.51	0.00	4.51	0.01
RSC	Bathyraja scaphiops	4.49	4.49	3.15	0.01
ANM	Anemone	4.02	0.00	4.02	0.01
BRY	Bryozoa	3.31	0.00	3.31	<0.01
MLA	Muusoctopus longibrachus akam	2.95	2.75	0.20	<0.01
MUE	Muusoctopus eureka	2.42	0.00	2.42	<0.01
SQT	Ascidiacea	2.37	0.00	2.37	<0.01
HYD	Hydrozoa	2.31	0.00	2.31	<0.01
FUM	Fusitriton magellanicus	2.00	0.00	2.00	<0.01
RMG	Bathyraja magellanica	2.00	2.00	2.00	<0.01
SEC	Seriolella caerulea	2.00	0.98	2.00	<0.01
COL	Cosmasterias lurida	1.92	0.00	1.92	<0.01
SHI	Mixed invertebrates	1.42	0.00	1.42	<0.01
ODM	Odontocymbiola magellanica	1.34	0.00	1.34	<0.01
CEX	Ceramaster sp.	1.16	0.00	1.16	<0.01
EGG	Skate eggs case	1.03	0.00	1.03	<0.01
RDA	Dipturus argentinensis	0.68	0.68	0.00	<0.01
MUG	Munida gregaria	0.67	0.00	0.67	<0.01
THO	Inouarellinae	0.65	0.00	0.65	<0.01
ALC		0.41	0.00	0.41	<0.01
AUC	Austrocidaris canaliculata	0.31	0.00	0.31	<0.01
STA	Sterechinus agassizi	0.28	0.00	0.28	<0.01
	Mancopsetta sp.	0.26	0.00	0.26	<0.01
	Coelomynchus lascialus	0.10	0.00	0.10	<0.01
	Porania antarcuca	0.16	0.00	0.10	<0.01
	Bathydonius longiselosus	0.09	0.00	0.09	<0.01
	Labidastar radiasus	0.07	0.00	0.07	<0.01
	Europadius longirostris	0.05	0.00	0.05	<0.01
CVX	Cucothra sp	0.03	0.00	0.03	<0.01
	Ophiuroidoa	0.04	0.00	0.04	<0.01
MAV	Magallania vonosa	0.03	0.00	0.03	<0.01
MITT	Munida subrugasa	0.02	0.00	0.02	<0.01
BAO	Rathybiaster lorines	0.02	0.00	0.02	<0.01
BILL	Micromesistius australia	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
FEI	luocoetes fimbriatus	0.01	0.00	0.01	~0.01
	Munida son	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
	Muniua opp. Onhiacantha densishina	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
POL	Polychaeta	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.01
Totals	,	36152.09	8298.68	4502.55	

Table 3.3 Catch composition, sample, and discard weights (kg) and catch proportion for the SMP-Window treatment. Species are ordered by increasing catch proportion.

Proportion of catch composition among treatments was highest in hake (*M. hubbsi*) throughout all stations (mean: 47.5% - 56.5%) (Figure 3.3). The catch proportion of pooled skate species was also relatively high among treatments (mean: 22.3% - 26.4%) as was kingclip (*G. blacodes*) (mean: 5.7% - 12.0%). The remaining fish and invertebrate species caught accounted for less than 10% individually of the total catch. (Fig 3.3). Mean catch proportions of each species were generally similar between mesh treatments.



Figure 3.3 Catch composition by species per mesh treatment as percentage of total catch weight (mean ± sd). BAC=*S. australis*; CGO=*C. gobio*; HAK=*M. hubbsi*; DGS = *S. acanthus*; KIN=*G. blacodes*; OTH = all other species; PAR= *P. ramsayi*; RAY = all skates; WHI=*M. magellanicus.*

Species composition and catch proportion by mesh treatment of skates are show in Figure 3.4. Twelve species of skate were found throughout all stations. Highest catch proportions by weight of total skates were RBR (mean: 39.23% - 43.2%), followed by RFL (mean: 29.7% - 35.5%). RAL, RMC and RPX were also proportionally high among the skate catches (mean: 13.2% - 6.2%). Skate species composition catch proportion was similar among treatments (Figure 3.4).



Figure 3.4 Catch composition by species as percentage of total catch weight (mean ± sd) for 3 mesh treatments, ZDLT1-07-2013. RAL= *Bathyraja albomaculata*; RBR= *Bathyraja brachyurops*; RBZ= *Bathyraja cousseauae*; RDA= *Dipturus argentinensis*; RDO= *Amblyraja doellojuradoi*; RFL= *Zearaja chilensis*; RGR= *Bathyraja griseocauda*; RMC= *Bathyraja macloviana*; RMG= *Bathyraja magellanica*; RMU= *Bathyraja multispinis*; RPX= *Psammobatis spp.*; RSC= *Bathyraja macloviana*

3.3 Codend-SMP trials

3.3.1 Catch rates

Impacts of codend-SMP configurations on catch per unit effort (CPUE) were assessed on total catch (CPUE_T), on catches of species encountered in all hauls (hake, kingclip, rockcod, *Illex* and skates) and on catches of commercial species occasionally encountered during the trials (red cod and hoki). Four stations (1112, 1114, 1138 and 1139) were not considered for CPUE analyses due to trawl damage and/or mixing of the catch. Trawl depth varied 152-174 m during trials.

Total Catch (CPUE_T)

Total CPUE averaged 1,123 kg hr⁻¹ during trials and ranged 535-2143 kg hr⁻¹ among hauls. Time of day explained 14% of the variance in the model (Table 3.4). Mean CPUE_T varied from 1,346 kg hr⁻¹ in control hauls to 1,072 kg hr⁻¹ (SMP-window) and 965 kg hr⁻¹ (SMP-Santos) (Fig. 3.5A). The use of 40-mm square mesh in the trawl codend had no impact on total catch rates (Chisq=2.742, df=2, p>0.05).

Merluccius hubbsi (CPUE_{HAK})

Hake was the most abundant species caught during the trials, on average accounting for 52% of the catch by weight (range 18-83% among hauls). Hake CPUE averaged 642 kg hr⁻¹ in control trawls and varied 619 kg hr⁻¹ (SMP-window) and 542 kg hr⁻¹ (SMP-Santos) (Fig. 3.5B). Time of day explained 16% of the variance (Table 3.4). There were no significant effects of codend-SMP configurations on catch rates of hake (Chisq=0.973, df=2, p>0.05).

Genypterus blacodes (CPUE_{KIN})

Kingclip was encountered in all hauls though in highly variable proportions. Kingclip CPUE averaged 105 kg hr⁻¹ and ranged 0.8-775 kg hr⁻¹ among trawls. Daily variability explained 63% of the variance in CPUE_{KIN} (Table 3.4). Mean catch rates of kingclip decreased from 184 kg hr⁻¹ in control hauls to 85 kg hr⁻¹ in trawls equipped with SMP-Santos and 58 kg hr⁻¹ in hauls with SMP-window. However SMP-codend configuration effects on kingclip CPUE lacked statistical significance (Chisq=4.582, df=2, p>0.05).

Rock cod (CPUE_{PAR})

Rock cod was encountered in small numbers throughout the trials. Average rock cod CPUE was 52 kg per hour and ranged 3-327 kg hr⁻¹ among hauls. Trawling depth explained 58% of the variance in CPUE_{PAR} (Table 3.4). Catch rates of rock cod were did not differ among SMP-codend configurations (Chisq=0.259, df=2, p>0.05) (Fig. 3.5D).

Skates (B. brachyurops) (CPUE_{RBR})

Skates accounted for 25% of the catch on average during the trials (range 5-50%). *B. brachyurops* CPUE averaged 121 kg per hour and ranged 9-417 kg hr⁻¹ among hauls. Day-today variability explained 97% of the random variation in CPUE_{RBR} (Table 3.4). Catch rates of skates were unaffected by codend-SMP configurations (Chisq=1.078, df=2, p>0.05) (Fig. 3.5E).

Salilota australis (CPUE_{BAC})

Red cod generally occurred in low numbers except in one haul. $CPUE_{BAC}$ averaged 25 kg per hour and ranged 0-557 kg hr⁻¹ among trawls. Day-to-day variability explained 9% of the random variation in $CPUE_{BAC}$ (Table 3.4). There were no effects of codend-SMP configurations on catch rates of red cod (Chisq=1.788, df=2, p>0.05) (Fig. 3.5F).

Macruronus magellanicus (CPUE_{WHI})

Hoki was encountered in small numbers and its occurence in the catch was highly variable both within and among fishing days. Hoki CPUE averaged 19 kg per hour and ranged 0-253 kg hr⁻¹ among hauls. Trawling depth explained 33% of the variance in CPUE_{WHI} (Table 3.4). Codend-SMP configurations had no significant effects on Hoki CPUE (Chisq=3.410, df=2, p>0.05) (Fig. 3.5G).

Illex argentinus (CPUE_{ILL})

Illex squid occurred in small numbers in all trawls. CPUE averaged 11 kg per hour and ranged 0.3-30 kg hr⁻¹ among hauls. Trawling day explained 37% of the variance in CPUE_{ILL} (Table 3.4). Codend-SMP configurations had a marginally significant influence on catch rates of Illex (Chisq=5.872, df=2, p=0.05). Mean Illex CPUE were higher in trawls equipped with

the SMP-Santos codend (17 kg hr^{-1}) relative to control and SMP-window configurations (both 8 kg hr^{-1}) (Fig.3.5H).

Table 3.4 Summary of selected GLMM procedures for the assessment of codend-SMP effects on total and species-specific CPUE. Codend-SMP configuration (3-levels factor) was the fixed effect in all models. Random effects included sampling day (day), time of day (tofday) and trawling depth (depth). 'X' indicates a significant fixed effect. For random effects: ()% is the percentage of the variance explained by the random effect in the selected model. BIC is the Bayesian information criterion.

	Response	Fixed effect	Rai	ndom ef	lom effects		error structure	BIC
		codend	day	tofday	depth			
Total Catch	$logCPUE_T$	-	-	14%	-	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	7.63
M. hubbsi	IogCPUE _{HAK}	-	-	16%	-	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	19.38
G. blacodes	logCPUE _{KIN}	-	63%	-	-	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	65.02
P. ramsayi	IogCPUE _{PAR}	-	-	-	58%	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	48.57
B. brachyurops	logCPUE _{RBR}	-	97%	-	-	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	44.03
S. australis		-	9%	-	-	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	63.99
M. magellanicus	logCPUE _{WHI}	-	-	-	33%	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	61.07
Illex argentinus	logCPUE _{ILL}	Х	37%	-	-	GLMM	gaussian (log-normal)	63.99



Figure 3.5. Catch rates (CPUE - kg per trawling hour) among codend configurations (control, SMPwindow and SMP-Santos. A) total catch; B) *M. hubbsi* (hake); C) *G. blacodes* (kingclip); D) *P. ramsayi* (rock cod). Empty circles correspond to individual hauls. Dark (filled) circles represent mean values. Error bars = ± 1 standard deviation.



Figure 3.5. (continued) E) *B. brachyurops* (RBR); F) *S. australis* (red cod); G) *M. magellanicus* (hoki) and H) *Illex argentinus*. Empty circles correspond to individual hauls. Dark (filled) circles represent mean values. Error bars = ±1 standard deviation.

3.3.2 Length structure and relative selectivity

Table 3.5 summarizes length information collected for fish, skates and fish species during the trials. Effects of codend-SMP configuration on length structure and relative selectivity were assessed for species encountered in large enough samples sizes (≥ 100 specimens measured per haul) at least once in each of the gear configuration under study.

Merluccius hubbsi (hake)

Hake length ranged 29-83 cm during the trials. Average length was 50 cm. Mean, median, first/third quartiles and modal lengths increased with SMP use (Table 3.5). Mean and median lengths increased by 1-cm and 2-cm in SMP-window and SMP-Santos codends, respectively, relative to controls. Differences in mean length between codend configurations were statistically significant (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 19.3863, df = 2, p-value = 6.171e-05). Proportions of hake < 45-cm were lower in trawls equipped with codend-SMP relative to controls (Fig 3.6). The SMP-Santos configuration yielded higher proportions of larger hake (50-65 cm) (Fig 3.6). Relative selectivity was highly variable among trawls (Fig 3.7, Appendix 1). All hauls considered, first and second lengths of 50% retention (L_{50}^{-1} and L_{50}^{-2}) were higher in codends with fitted SMP (Fig. 3.7). Variability in estimates of L_{50}^{-1} for hake among gear configurations shows a tendency towards smaller L_{50}^{-1} in controls relative to SMP-trawls (Fig 3.8A). However differences in L_{50}^{-1} lacked statistical significance (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 1.9904, df = 2, p-value = 0.3697).



Figure 3.6. GAM-smoothed proportional length frequency distributions for *M. hubbsi* (hake) among SMP-codend configurations.

Genypterus blacodes (kingclip)

The average total length of kingclip was 68 cm and ranged 44-136 cm during the trials. Kingclip caught using the control gear had larger mean, median, first/ third quartiles and modal lengths compared to those caught in SMP-codends (Table 3.5). Differences in mean size were only significant between the control and SMP-window configuration (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 17.7252, df = 2, p-value = 0.0001416; Wilcoxon (control vs SMP-Window) p-value=0.0001). The average size of kingclip caught using the SMP-Santos codend did not differ from controls. Kingclip length frequency distributions were relatively similar between treatments, although higher proportions of smaller (< 60-cm) kingclip occurred in the SMP-window (Fig 3.9). Relative selectivity was similar between control and SMP-Santos trawls (Fig. 3.10). Selectivity assessment for kingclip caught using the SMP-window codend yielded generally poor fits (Fig 3.10). The minimum length at which 50% of kingclip were recruited to the fishing gear (L_{50}^{-1}) was slightly larger in control hauls and lower in the SMP-window codend (Fig 3.8B). However these differences lacked statistical significance (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 2.7527, df = 2, p-value = 0.2525), in part due to small sample sizes.

Table 3.5 Species-specific length structures among trawl configurations, including mean, median, modal and first/third quartiles lengths. 'n stations' is the number of hauls with sample sizes ≥100 specimens.

M. hubbsi (hake) 42 43 43 median 47 48 49 75th percentile 55 56 57 mean 49.1 50.3 50.5 fitted mode 43 43 44 n (stations) 9 11 9 G. blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 68.7 64 66.1 68.7 64 64 66.1 68.7 64 75.0 72 74 74 74.7 75.0 75.0 72 72 74 74.7 75.0 72.7 73.0 70.7 70.7 70.7 70.7		Control	SMP-window	SMP-Santos
25th percentile 42 43 43 median 47 48 49 75th percentile 55 56 57 mean 49.1 50.3 50.5 fitted mode 43 43 44 n (stations) 9 11 9 2.blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 65 64 median 69.8 66.1 68.7 74.4 74.3 69.8 66.1 68.7 74.7 74.8 28.8 88 75.7 74.7 25.0 75.1 67.7 28 28 mea 24.5 24.7 25.0 6.4 41.1 75.	M. hubbsi (hake)			
median 47 48 49 75th percentile 55 56 57 mean 49.1 50.3 50.5 fitted mode 43 43 44 n (stations) 9 11 9 G. blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 64 3 6 P. ramsayi (rock cod) 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 n 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 7 10 7 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 10 7 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 2 2 23 n stations) 6 8 5	25th percentile	42	43	43
75th percentile 55 56 57 mean 49.1 50.3 50.5 fitted mode 43 43 44 n (stations) 9 11 9 G. blacodes (kingelip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsayi (rock cod) 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25 24 7 Z5th percentile 54 49 52 median </td <td>median</td> <td>47</td> <td>48</td> <td>49</td>	median	47	48	49
mean 49.1 50.3 50.5 fitted mode 43 43 44 n (stations) 9 11 9 G. blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 2 22 22 22 median 24 24.7 25.0 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 10 7 B. brachvurops (skates) 7 10 7 7 25th percentile 31 26 26 6 median 47 34 41 7 75th percentile 54 26 54 9 5 M.magellanicus (hoki) 26 <td< td=""><td>75th percentile</td><td>55</td><td>56</td><td>57</td></td<>	75th percentile	55	56	57
fitted mode 43 43 44 n (stations) 9 11 9 G. blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75th percentile 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 2 22 22 median 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 7 10 7 gath percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7	mean	49.1	50.3	50.5
n (stations) 9 11 9 G. blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 2 22 22 median 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) E 25 26 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. macellanicus (hoki	fitted mode	43	43	44
G. blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 2 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 2 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 31 26 26 median 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 25 mean 24.7 23.5 1<	n (stations)	9	11	9
G. blacodes (kingclip) 25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 2 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 10 7 fitted mode 54 26 24 7 55				
25th percentile 62 59 61 median 68 65 66 75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsayi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachvurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 26 54 median 42.7 37.0 39.7 10 7 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 2 n (stations)	G. blacodes (kingclip)			
median 68 65 66 75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 687 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n rstations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 24 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 5 5 5 75 Mean 25	25th percentile	62	59	61
75th percentile 75 72 74 mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsayi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 7 10 7 7 0 7 25th percentile 31 26 25 25 6 8 5 25 25<	median	68	65	66
mean 69.8 66.1 68.7 fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 7 10 7 7 8 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 5 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25 25 6 4 9 52 median 25 24 7 23.5 1 1	75th percentile	75	72	74
fitted mode 66 65 64 n (stations) 4 3 6 P. ramsavi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 7 10 7 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 2 26 54 $25th$ percentile 24.7 23.5 1 fitted mode 25 25 25 <t< td=""><td>mean</td><td>69.8</td><td>66.1</td><td>68.7</td></t<>	mean	69.8	66.1	68.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	fitted mode	66	65	64
P. ramsavi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 mean 24.7 23.5 1 6 fitted mode 25 24 75th percentile 34 median 20 3.00 0.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 1 0 1 <	n (stations)	4	3	6
P. ramsavi (rock cod) 25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 23 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 2 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 16 8 5 Median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 16 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 17 18 25 24 7 18 25 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		-		
25th percentile 22 22 22 median 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25 24 75th percentile 24 21 median 25 25 n (stations) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 25 25 n 1 45 mean 24.7 23.5 1 0 1 fitted mode	P. ramsayi (rock cod)			
median 24 24 24 24 75th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 5 5 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25 24 7 5 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25 25 6 9 5 7 7 30.0 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 7	25th percentile	22	22	22
T5th percentile 27 28 28 mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 n (stations) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 2 31 0 1 n (stations) 1 0 1 1 n (stations) 1 0 1 1	median	24	24	24
mean 24.5 24.7 25.0 fitted mode 22 22 23 n (stations) 7 10 7 B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 $25th$ percentile 24 25 25 $median$ 25 25 n n (stations) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 2 2 33.0 n n (stations) 1 0 1 1 n (stations) 1 0 1 1 n (sta	75th percentile	27	28	28
Initial	mean	24.5	24.7	25.0
Integrations Image for the second seco	fitted mode	21.0	21.7	23
Instantonsy I Io I B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 25 mean 24.7 23.5 5 10 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 2500 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 25 25 n 11.7 25th percentile 34 34 34 median 40 38 75th percentile 51 45 mean 43.5 41.7 11.7 11 1 Illex argentinus 25th percentile 33.0 1 0 1 Illex argentinus 25th percentile 33.0 33.0	n (stations)	7	10	7
B. brachyurops (skates) 25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 <i>n</i> (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki)			10	
25th percentile 31 26 26 median 47 34 41 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 25 mean 24.7 23.5 1 0 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 25th percentile 34 34 34 median 40 38 75th percentile 51 45 median 40 38 75th percentile 51 45 mean 43.5 41.7 1 1 1 fitted mode 1 0 1 1 1 mean 43.5 41.7 30 1 1 1 fitted mode 1 0 1 1 1 1 mean 32.0 </td <td>B brachvurops (skates)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	B brachvurops (skates)			
Interview Interview <thinterview< th=""> <thinterview< th=""> <th< td=""><td>25th percentile</td><td>31</td><td>26</td><td>26</td></th<></thinterview<></thinterview<>	25th percentile	31	26	26
Total T O 1 T 75th percentile 54 49 52 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 25 mean 24.7 23.5 fitted mode 25 25 n (stations) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 2 200 3.00 0.000 S. australis (red cod) 2 2 1 0 1 25th percentile 34 34 34 median 40 38 75th percentile 51 45 mean 43.5 41.7 45 45 mean 43.5 41.7 14 75 mean 43.5 1 0 1 Illex argentinus 25th percentile 33.0 32.0 <t< td=""><td>median</td><td>47</td><td>34</td><td><u>_</u>0 _1</td></t<>	median	47	34	<u>_</u> 0 _1
Interpretentitie 54 43 55 mean 42.7 37.0 39.7 fitted mode 54 26 54 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25th percentile 24 21 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 25 mean 24.7 23.5 1 6 0 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 25 25 n 0.00 0.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 25th percentile 34 34 34 median 40 38 75th percentile 51 45 mean 43.5 41.7 1 1 1 median 43.5 41.7 1 1 1 mean 43.5 1 0 1 1 mean 43.5 1 0 1 1 mean 43.5 41.7 1	75th percentile	54	49 29	52
InterinH2.151.053.1fitted mode542654 n (stations)685M. magellanicus (hoki)25th percentile24median252475th percentile2625mean24.723.5fitted mode2525 n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)25th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.741.741.7fitted mode1011 <i>Illex argentinus</i> 25th percentile33.0101Illex argentinus25th percentile33.0101n (stations)10111Illex argentinus25th percentile33.0101n (stations)02000	mean	/2 T	37.0	30.7
Intel mode 34 20 34 n (stations) 6 8 5 M. magellanicus (hoki) 25 24 25th percentile 26 25 median 25 24 75th percentile 26 25 mean 24.7 23.5 fitted mode 25 25 n (stations) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) 1 45 median 40 38 75th percentile 51 45 mean 43.5 41.7 fitted mode n (stations) 1 0 Illex argentinus 1 0 1 25 th percentile 14.5 33.0 median 32.0 75 th percentile 33.0 mean 27.3 $fitted mode$ n (stations) 0 2 0	fitted mode		26	54
n (stations) 0 3 3 M. magellanicus (hoki)25th percentile2421median252475th percentile2625mean24.723.5fitted mode2525 n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)343425th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode10 n (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile33.0median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3102n (stations)020	n (stations)	54	20	5
M. magellanicus (hoki)25th percentile2421median252475th percentile2625mean24.723.5fitted mode2525 n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)S. australis (red cod)3425th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode101Illex argentinus10125th percentile33.0median32.075th percentile33.0median27.3fitted mode27.3fitted mode1n (stations)02		0	0	
25th percentile2421median252475th percentile2625mean24.723.5fitted mode2525 n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)25th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode101n (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile33.0median32.075th percentile33.0median27.3fitted mode27.3fitted mode1n (stations)025th percentile33.0median32.075th percentile33.0median27.3fitted mode1n (stations)020	M. magellanicus (hoki)			
Lotal personanceLiLimedian252475th percentile2625mean24.723.5fitted mode2525 n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)25th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode101 n (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile33.0median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode12 n (stations)02020	25th percentile	24	21	
ThotalLoLi75th percentile2625mean24.723.5fitted mode2525 n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)25th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode101 n (stations)101Illex argentinus32.025th percentile33.0median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode1 n (stations)02	median	25	24	
roth percentile1212mean 24.7 23.5 fitted mode 25 25 n (stations) 2.00 3.00 0.00 S. australis (red cod) $25th$ percentile 34 34 median 40 38 75th percentile 51 45 mean 43.5 41.7 fitted mode n (stations) 1 0 Illex argentinus 1 0 1 Illex argentinus 32.0 $75th$ percentile 33.0 mean 27.3 50 2 n (stations) 0 2 0	75th percentile	26	25	
Internal111fitted mode2525 n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)343425th percentile343875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode101 n (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode n (stations) n (stations)020	mean	24.7	23.5	
n (stations)2.003.000.00S. australis (red cod)343425th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode10n (stations)10Illex argentinus32.025th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode1n (stations)020	fitted mode	25	25	
S. australis (red cod)25th percentile34 34 34median40 38 75th percentile 51 45mean43.5 41.7 fitted mode n (stations)1 1 010101110 </td <td>n (stations)</td> <td>2 00</td> <td>3 00</td> <td>0.00</td>	n (stations)	2 00	3 00	0.00
S. australis (red cod)25th percentile 34 median 40 3875th percentile 51 45mean 43.5 41.7fitted moden (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode n (stations)0202		2.00	0.00	0.00
25th percentile3434median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode10n (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode0n (stations)00202	S. australis (red cod)			
median403875th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode n (stations)10n (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode n (stations)020	25th percentile	34		34
75th percentile5145mean43.541.7fitted mode10 n (stations)10Illex argentinus125th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode n (stations)02	median	40		38
mean 43.5 41.7 fitted mode 1 0 1 n (stations) 1 0 1 Illex argentinus 14.5 14.5 25th percentile 14.5 median 32.0 75th percentile 33.0 mean 27.3 fitted mode 1 n (stations) 0 2	75th percentile	51		45
fitted mode101fitted mode101Illex argentinus10125th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted mode1n (stations)02	mean	43.5		41 7
n (stations)101Illex argentinus25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted moden (stations)020	fitted mode	10.0		
Illex argentinus25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted moden (stations)020	n (stations)	1	0	1
Illex argentinus25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted moden (stations)0202		1	0	,
25th percentile14.5median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted moden (stations)0202	Illex argentinus			
median32.075th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted moden (stations)0202	25th percentile		14.5	
75th percentile33.0mean27.3fitted moden (stations)0202	median		32.0	
mean 27.3 fitted mode n (stations) 0 2 0	75th percentile		33.0	
fitted mode n (stations) 0 2 0	mean		27.3	
n (stations) 0 2 0	fitted mode			
	n (stations)	0	2	0



Figure 3.7 Relative selectivity at length for *M. hubbsi* (hake) among SMP-codend configurations. Grey-curves = fitted selectivity for individual hauls. Bold curves (black, blue and red) = treatment-specific selectivity (fitted selectivity using data from all hauls of a given trawl configuration).



Figure 3.8 Differences in length of 50% retention among codend-SMP configurations in A) Hake; B) Kingclip; and C) Rock cod.



Figure 3.9 GAM-smoothed proportional length frequency distributions for *G. blacodes* (kingclip) among SMP-codend configurations.

Patagonotothen ramsayi (Patagonian rock cod)

Rock cod length ranged 13-39 cm during the trials. Mean size was 25 cm. There was a slight increase in third quartile and mean lengths in trawls equipped with SMP in the codend relative to controls (Table 3.5). Average length was significantly larger in the SMP-Santos relative to controls but did not differ between control and SMP-window (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 6.9573, df = 2, p-value = 0.03085). Modal length increased from 22 cm in control and SMP-window to 23 cm in SMP-Santos (Table 3.5). Proportions of small (< 20 cm) fish were generally similar between treatments (Fig. 3.11). The occurrence of large (> 30 cm) rock cod in the catch was greater in SMP-trawls. This was especially marked in the SMP-Santos (Fig. 3.11). Relative selectivity assessment was subject to important inter-trawl variability (Fig 3.12). The SMP-Santos codend generally yielded higher probabilities of retaining commercial-size rock cod relative to controls and the SMP-window (Fig. 3.12). Minimum length of 50% retention (L_{50}^{1}) increased with SMP-use but differences among gear configurations were not statistically significant (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 5.0209, df = 2, p-value = 0.08123) (Fig 3.8C).



Figure 3.10 Relative selectivity at length for *G. blacodes* (kingclip) among SMP-codend configurations. Grey-curves = fitted selectivity for individual hauls. Bold curves (black, blue and red) = treatment-specific selectivity (fitted selectivity using data from all hauls of a given trawl configuration).



Figure 3.11 GAM-smoothed proportional length frequency distributions for *P. ramsayi* (rock cod) among SMP-codend configurations.

Rajidae (skates)

The average size of *B. brachyurops* (RBR) was 39 cm disk width and ranged 10-67 cm. Larger RBR were harvested in control trawls relative to trawls equipped with SMP (Table 3.5). Average disk width significantly differed among trawl configurations and varied from 43-cm in control hauls to 37-cm and 40-cm in SMP-window and SMP-Santos codends, respectively (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 71.1508, df = 2, p-value = 3.547e-16). RBR size frequency distributions were characterized by higher proportions of smaller skates (< 30 cm) in trawls equipped with SMP in the codend and by higher proportions of larger (50-60 cm) skates in controls (Fig 3.13). Selectivity assessment yielded poor fits and a recurrent lack of convergence, suggesting that (i) the double-logistic model is not appropriate to describe size selectivity in skates; and/or (ii) the three codend configurations under trials were not selective for skates (Fig 3.14). This corroborates earlier findings by Roux et al (2012) which showed limited size-selectivity for skates in small mesh (90 mm and 110 mm) codends.



Figure 3.12 Relative selectivity at length for *P. ramsayi* (rock cod) among SMP-codend configurations. Grey-curves = fitted selectivity for individual hauls. Bold curves (black, blue and red) = treatment-specific selectivity (fitted selectivity using data from all hauls of a given trawl configuration).



Figure 3.13 GAM-smoothed proportional size frequency distributions for *B. brachyurops* (skates) among SMP-codend configurations.

3.3.3 Summary of findings

- There were no effects of fitted square mesh panels in trawl codends on catch rates of finfish species under conditions of mixed species catch composition.

- In all species, relative selectivity at length was highly variable among hauls and generally independent from trawl configuration.

- Significant effects of codend-SMP on the length structure of dominant species in the catch were detected. These included:

• An increase in hake sizes in trawls equipped with SMP in the codend relative to controls, including higher proportions of larger hake (50-65 cm) in the SMP-Santos configuration.

- Larger mean and modal rock cod lengths (as linked to higher proportions of > 30 cm rock cod) in the SMP-Santos codend relative to control and SMPwindow.
- Greater occurrence of smaller (< 60 cm) kingclip in trawls equipped with the SMP-window.
- Smaller sizes and higher proportions of smaller skates (< 30 cm disk width) in trawls equipped with SMP in the codend.



Figure 3.14 Relative selectivity at disk width intervals ('Length' on figures) for *B. brachyurops* (skates) among SMP-codend configurations. Grey-curves = fitted selectivity for individual hauls. Bold curves (black, blue and red) = treatment-specific selectivity (fitted selectivity using data from all hauls in a given trawl configuration).

3.4 Kingclip conversion factor analysis

Calculated conversion factors (CFs) in all 3 trials were lower than the FIFD-statutory CF (KIN HGT CF=2.3) by 9% to 14% (Table 3.6). Station 1137 represents the best data set with sample size similar to commercial condition. The CF value on this station was less than 2.0. Average range of CFs (total and per trunk) were between 2.01 and 1.98 (Table 3.6), or approximately 13% lower than the recommended CF.

The physical condition of the caught kingclip might explain low CFs. For example a higher liver weight could significantly raise the CF. It will be useful to compare the CF data reported here to data from the same vessel but during another season of the year or to another fishing area. Also, the impact of the net selectivity on the CFs is unknown. It is recommended to repeat the CF work on the next research cruise. It would be prudent to confirm that packed trunks in boxes do weigh 16 kg, as reported by the ship. Box weight was checked only once during the cruise and a small discrepancy was found (16.8kg). Observers commonly report differences and variations in the weight of the filled boxes in the commercial fleet.

	Number of animals		Number of animals			Green w	eight (kg)	Processo weigh	ed trunk t (kg)	Conve fact	ersion tor
Station	Unprocessed	Returned after processing	Mean length (cm)	Total	Per animal	Total	Per trunk	Total	Per trunk		
1129	97	95	66.44	140.42	1.448	68.4	0.72	2.053	2.011		
1136	79	78	57.56	69.32	0.877	32.77	0.42	2.115	2.089		
1137	~245	~245	64.28	310.23	1.266	157.11	0.64	1.975	1.975		
Total	421	418		519.97		258.28		2.013			
Average	140	139	63.17	173.32	1.235	86.09	0.62	2.048	1.999		

Table 3.6 Conversion fact data and analysis for kingclip, ZDLT1 - 07-2013

4.0 General conclusions and recommendations

A second series of SMP trials was conducted on mixed finfish grounds. Trials involved two different configurations of 40-mm square mesh fitted inside the top panel of a 110-mm diamond mesh codend: the SMP-window (2-m of square mesh inserted between 6-8 m from the codline) and the SMP-Santos (17-m of square mesh starting 10-m away from the codline). The 110-mm diamond mesh codend without SMP was used as control.

The results indicate little or no impacts of SMP in trawl codend during trials consisting of generally small-volume, mixed species catches. The small-size of the square mesh panel under trial, which is intended to specifically allow escapement of small rock cod, appears to have limited effects on catch rates and size-selectivity in larger-bodied, commercial species. Catch rates and relative selectivity at length were highly variable during trials and generally unaffected by trawl configuration. Limited, positive impacts on length structure were observed in hake (dominant species) and rock cod and corresponded to increased sizes and larger proportions of larger fish in trawls with SMP. This was especially marked in the SMP-Santos configuration. Oceanography varied widely between stations, in particular vertical stratification at each station, indicating variation in Argentine Drift current on the shelf. This may have driven some of the observed variability in catch.

The observation of higher proportions of smaller-size skates in trawls equipped with SMP in the codend requires further investigation. This may suggest reduced escapement of smallersize skates through the more rigid 40-mm square mesh, in comparison with the 110-mm diamond mesh. The same applies to the higher proportions of smaller kingclip observed in the SMP-window configuration. Small spatial scale variation in juvenile skate aggregations may also partly account for the observed catch proportions.

Kingclip conversion factor results indicated that the FIFD-statutory CF for the species was in this instance overestimated. Potential reasons for this may include seasonal variations in body condition. Variability in conversion factors for this, and other commercial species, should continue to be monitored.

A third and final series of SMP-trials is scheduled for October 2013.

5.0 Addendum: Seabird Mortality

Seabird interaction monitoring was not carried out over the duration of the cruise however one incidence of seabird mortality was observed and recorded on the 8th of July at station 1122.

The incident took place in relatively calm conditions with good visibility. The vessel was not discarding by-catch and seabird abundance was low. The black-browed albatross, *Thalassarche melanophris,* became entangled with the buoy on the starboard tori line. On discovery of the entangled seabird, the tori line was hauled but the bird had already died.

A necropsy was carried out and the bird was found to be male and by use of moult patterns and bill colour it was possible to ascertain that it was an adult of six or more years. The stomach was empty showing that the bird had not been feeding recently.

It was observed by members of the scientific team that seabird abundance around the vessel appeared unusually low whilst the corpse was tangled in the tori line, and perhaps there was a 'scarecrow effect'. However the vessel had ceased discarding some time before, thus it was not possible to distinguish between discarding and potential 'scarecrow' effects.

Mortality was not caused by any failure of the vessel; the tori line had been deployed correctly and was made to FIFD specifications. Tori lines have been shown to significantly reduce seabird mortality by trawlers (Reid & Edwards 2005) however as with any object being towed from the vessel there is a risk of entanglement and the chance of causing mortality.

6.0 References

Arkhipkin A, Brickle P, Laptikhovsky V (In Review) Links between marine fauna and oceanic fronts on the Patagonian Shelf break and slope. Deep-Sea Research Part II.

Bolker B M, Brooks M E, Clark C J, Geange S W, Poulsen J R, Stevens M H S and White J-S (2008) Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24:127-135.

Brickle P and Winter A (2011) Scientific Report, Fisheries Cruise ZDLT1-11-2011. Stanley, Fisheries Department, Directorate of Natural Resources, Falkland Islands Government.

Broadhurst MK and Kennelly SJ 1996. Effects of the circumference of codends and a new design of square-mesh panel in reducing unwanted by-catch in the new South Wales oceanic prawn-trawl fishery, Australia. Fisheries Research 27:203-214

Bullough LW, Napier IR, Laurenson CH, Riley D, Fryer RJ, Ferro RST, Kynoch RJ 2007 A year-long trial of a square mesh panel in a commercial demersal trawl. Fisheries Research 83: 105-112.

Falkland Islands Government, 2013. Fisheries Department Fisheries Statistics, Volume 17, 2012: 72 pp. Stanley, FIG Fisheries Department

FIFD Scientific Observer Manual – Conversion Factor Guide (2010) Fisheries Department, Directorate of Natural Resources, Falkland Islands Government.

Fofonoff NP and Millard Jr RC (1983) Algorithms for computation of fundamental properties of seawater. Unesco technical papers in marine science 44

Graham N, Kynoch RJ, Fryer RJ 2003 Square mesh panels in demersal trawls: further data relating haddock and whiting selectivity to panel position. Fisheries Research 62:361-375

Graham N, Kynoch RJ 2001 Square mesh panels in demersal trawls: some data on haddock selectivity in relation to mesh size and position. Fisheries Research 49:207-218

Macbeth WG, Millar RB, Johnson DD, Gray CA, Keech RS Collins D 2012. Assessment of relative performance of a square-mesh codend design across multiple vessels in a demersal trawl fishery. Fisheries Research 134-136: 29-41

O'Neill FG, Kynoch RJ and Fryer RJ 2006. Square mesh panels in North Sea demersal trawls: Separate estimates of panel and cod-end selectivity. Fisheries Research 78: 333-341

Quinn II TJ and Deriso, RB (1999) Quantitative fish dynamics. Biological Resource Management Series. Oxford University Press: New York. XV, 542 pp.

Reid, T., and Edwards, M. (2005) Consequences of the introduction of *tori* lines in relation to seabird mortality in Falkland Islands trawl fishery, 2004/2005. Falkland Conservation.

R Development Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for

statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u>.

Roux et al 2012a Scientific Report, Fisheries Cruise ZDLT1-04-2012. Stanley, Fisheries Department, Directorate of Natural Resources, Falkland Islands Government.

Roux et al 2012b Scientific Report, Fisheries Cruise ZDLT1-10-2012. Stanley, Fisheries Department, Directorate of Natural Resources, Falkland Islands Government.

Roux M-J et al (2013). Scientific Report, Fisheries Cruise ZDLT1-02-2013. Stanley, Fisheries Department, Directorate of Natural Resources, Falkland Islands Government.

Appendix 1: Individual hauls parameters estimates of the doublelogistic function used to describe selectivity at length in *M. hubbsi* (hake), *G. blacodes* (kingclip) and *P. ramsayi* (rock cod).

Species	Station	Codend	s1	s2	р1	р2
Hake	1104	Control	0.281	33.623	44.453	18.807
Hake	1105	Control	0.152	-0.204	44.024	Inf
Hake	1110	Control	0.252	0.297	59.546	46.799
Hake	1116	Control	0.149	1.038	45.426	37.864
Hake	1117	Control	0.157	23.585	45.592	37.368
Hake	1125	Control	-3.996	-1.023	62.205	47.026
Hake	1130	Control	-0.070	0.596	54.733	43.876
Hake	1134	Control	0.254	0.650	51.651	42.424
Hake	1139	Control	0.140	0.451	57.460	45.635
Hake	1101	SMP-window	0.840	0.294	48.711	40.643
Hake	1106	SMP-window	5.301	0.029	51.039	43.138
Hake	1112	SMP-window	0.051	0.358	55.852	45.200
Hake	1113	SMP-window	0.610	0.113	64.405	53.524
Hake	1118	SMP-window	4.245	2.995	43.512	53.111
Hake	1122	SMP-window	0.293	0.233	67.858	53.851
Hake	1124	SMP-window	10,400	0.098	48.199	38,180
Hake	1128	SMP-window	0.070	9.881	50.022	41.681
Hake	1129	SMP-window	0.051	0.970	48.570	41.555
Hake	1136	SMP-window	3.280	0.081	58.978	51.889
Hake	1137	SMP-window	0.069	5 722	52 604	43 898
Hake	1102	SMP-Santos	26 873	-0.077	55 621	41 828
Hake	1108	SMP-Santos	0.342	0 436	53 299	43 682
Hake	1109	SMP-Santos	0.211	0.559	55 412	45 091
Hake	1120	SMP-Santos	119 117	0.000	49 998	Inf
Hake	1120	SMP-Santos	-0 141	23 626	54 222	43 320
Hake	1126	SMP-Santos	-45 820	0.076	52 031	50 303
Hake	1120	SMP-Santos	0.265	0.070	68 051	53 122
Hake	1132	SMP-Santos	926 190	0.101	46 000	Inf
Hako	1138	SMP-Santos	0.007	10 127	51 851	13 050
Kingelin	1100	Control	0.007	0.236	83 1/6	63 378
Kingelip	1104	Control	0.120	20 73/	63 085	51 256
Kingelip	1130	Control	0.040	0.055	80 720	60.835
Kingelip	1130	Control	0.210	0.000	76 617	61 624
Kingelip	1104	SMP_window	-0.044	8 0.08	68 301	57 071
Kingelip	1101	SMP window	-0.040	15 004	60.069	27 290
Kingelip	1124	SMP-window	0 209	0.054	75 420	ZT.309 55.461
Kingolip	1107	SMP Sentes	0.308	0.054	170.227	60.052
Kingolip	1102	SMP Sontos	-0.001	0.017	05 474	46 624
Kingolip	1100	SMP Sontos	0.100	0.007	00.474	40.024 50.051
Kingelip	1121	SIVIF-Sarilos	0.123	0.255	74.395	00.001
Kingelip	1132	SMP-Santos	0.169	0.144	60.335	03.000 EE 014
Kingclip	1133	SMP-Santos	0.101	0.553	69.604	20.014
Kingclip	1130	SiviP-Santos	0.019	0.460	00.000	49.007
	1110	Control	0.432		21.122	19.594
		Control	0.594	1.467	24.724	19.349
ROCK COD	111/	Control	0.337	1.219	31.615	23.363
ROCK COD	1125	Control	0.499	0.276	27.597	20.382
ROCK COD	1130	Control	0.052	0.184	29.828	17.828

Rock cod	1134	Control	0.312	0.660	26.929	20.618
Rock cod	1139	Control	0.132	2.353	28.373	21.620
Rock cod	1106	SMP-window	0.679	0.803	29.650	22.779
Rock cod	1112	SMP-window	5.688	-0.805	33.494	22.306
Rock cod	1113	SMP-window	0.861	0.000	24.739	Inf
Rock cod	1118	SMP-window	0.680	0.732	32.125	24.468
Rock cod	1122	SMP-window	-0.099	14.155	20.622	25.041
Rock cod	1124	SMP-window	0.210	1.163	32.255	23.046
Rock cod	1128	SMP-window	0.653	0.746	26.734	20.731
Rock cod	1129	SMP-window	0.177	0.431	28.848	21.465
Rock cod	1136	SMP-window	0.860	1.117	26.239	20.779
Rock cod	1137	SMP-window	0.472	1.035	26.564	20.501
Rock cod	1108	SMP-Santos	0.263	0.840	28.680	21.917
Rock cod	1109	SMP-Santos	0.034	0.073	34.257	13.650
Rock cod	1120	SMP-Santos	0.232	0.368	31.560	23.225
Rock cod	1121	SMP-Santos	1.063	0.470	37.897	26.481
Rock cod	1126	SMP-Santos	0.659	0.779	24.822	19.252
Rock cod	1132	SMP-Santos	0.069	0.573	28.000	20.228
Rock cod	1138	SMP-Santos	1.097	1.118	24.324	18.895

Appendix 2: Stepwise method for Transferring Fishboard Data via Bluetooth (SCANTROL Electronic FISHMETER – Long board)

• Pair devices for sharing via Bluetooth. First make sure the receiving device is 'discoverable' by other Bluetooth devices.

START – ACTIVE SYNC – MENU – CONNECT VIA BLUETOOTH – YES – DEVICES TAB (bottom left)

select the receiving device

SAVE - OK - X (close)

• Use File Explorer on PDA to find the file FMDATA.xls

START – FILE EXPLORER – MY DEVICE – PROGRAM FILES - FishMeterWL

• Select the file by holding the stylus on the file for 2 seconds, then select

BEAM FILE

The PDA will then search for your device. When your device name shows on the screen click

TAP TO SEND

The display should tell you that the file transfer is 'pending'. On the receiving device you will need to accept the download. Once the file has transferred the PDA will notify you whether it was 'successful' or 'failed'

Clearing old data from PDA and installing blank FMDATA file

- Once FMDATA.xls is safely on the receiving device check it before deleting from PDA.
- To delete file from the PDA use File Explorer and select the file by holding the stylus on the file name for 2 seconds. A menu will appear, select 'delete' and 'ok'.
- Then make a copy of FMDATAblank.xls by selecting the file and 'Copy'. Then select the background until the menu appears, select 'Paste'
- Change the name of FMDATAblank(1).xls by selecting the file name and deleting the blank(1) part, so that you are left with a new FMDATA.xls. The device is now ready for next use.

Appendix 3: Recommended FIFD conversion factors.



Falkland Islands Government

The Fisheries Department, Stanley, Falkland Islands Telephone: (+500) 27 260 Facsimile: (+500) 27 265 E-mail: director@fisheries.gov.fk

CONVERSION FACTORS 2011

Following work conducted by Fisheries Observers some conversion factors used to calculate green weight from processed fish have been changed. The conversion factors to be used from 1 March 2011 onwards are listed below.

Headed, Gutted and Tailed Fish (HGT)						
Scientific Name	English Name	Spanish Name	FIFD Code	Conversion Factor		
Salilota australis	Red cod	Brotola	BAC	2.0		
Micromesistius australis	Southern blue whiting	Polaca	BLU	2.0		
Macrourus spp.	Grenadier	Rata	GRV	2.7		
Merluccius spp.	Hake	Merluza	HAK/PAT	1.9		
Genypterus blacodes	Kingclip	Rosada	KIN	2,3		
Patagonotothen ramsayi	Rockcod	Marujo	PAR	2,0		
Sebastes oculatus	Redfish	Cabra	RED	2,1		
Dissostichus eleginoides	Toothfish	Robalo	TOO	2,0		
Macruronus magellanicus	Hoki	Meriuza de cola	WHI	2.0		

Filleted fish (skin on)						
Scientific Name	English Name	Spanish Name	FIFD Code	Conversion Factor		
Micromesistius australis	Southern blue whiting	Polaca	BLU	3.1		
Мастоигиз spp.	Grenadier	Rata	GRV	4.0		
Dissostichus eleginoides	Toothfish	Robalo	TOO	2,5		
Macruronus magellanicus	Hoki	Merluza de cola	WHI	2,6		

Filleted fish (skin off)						
Scientific Name	English Name	Spanish Name	FIFD Code	Conversion Factor		
Мастоигиз spp.	Grenadier	Rata	GRV	4.3		
Merluccius spp.	Hake	Merluza	HAK/PAT	3,3		
Macruronus magellanicus	Whiptail Hake or Hoki	Merluza de cola	WHI	3,2		

Ray wings						
Scientific Name	English Name	Spanish Name	FIFD Code	Conversion Factor		
Rajidae	Skates/Rays	Raya	RAY	4.0 (Skin Off)		
Rajidae	Skates/Rays	Raya	RAY	2.9 (Skin On)		

Illex tubes						
Scientific Name	English Name	Spanish Name	FIFD Code	Conversion Factor		
Illex argentinus	Illex squid	Pota	ILL	1.9 (Skin and Wings on)		
Illex argentinus	Illex squid	Pota	ILL	3.0 (Skin, Tail and Wings Taken off)		

Conversion Factors will remain as before for species not mentioned above.