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# Stock assessment of Southern hake (Merluccius australis) in the Falkland Islands 

Jorge E. Ramos*, Andreas Winter

Fisheries Department, Directorate of Natural Resources, Falkland Islands Government, Bypass Road, Stanley FIQQ 1ZZ, Falkland Islands
*jeramos@naturalresources.gov.fk

## Summary

Southern hake Merluccius australis commercial catches in Falkland Islands licenced fisheries were 3.9 t in 2021; the lowest annual reported total catch since 2015. Following recommendations of the MacAlister Elliott \& Partners external review, Total Allowable Catch (TAC) was calculated according to the ICES category 5 advice rule: three-year average catch limited to an 'uncertainty cap' of $\pm 20 \%$ with respect of the TAC set for the current year. The M. australis TAC for 2023 is set at 70 t .

Abundance has a declining trend. Annual commercial CPUE reached its lowest level ( $2.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) in 2021. The biomass calculated from the 2022 February surveys was $18 \%$ of the biomass calculated from the 2010 February surveys, and the biomass calculated from the 2020 July surveys was $31 \%$ of the biomass calculated from the 2017 July surveys.

The length and age analyses suggest that there is no evidence of a detrimental effect of the fishery on size of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters, with consistent patterns of von Betalannfy Linf, length at 50\% maturity, and modal lengths through time.

## Introduction

Southern hake Merluccius australis is a predatory benthopelagic species, of which the Patagonian population is found from Chiloé Island in the Pacific, southward around the southern tip of South America to the continental shelf to $59^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, and on the slope north to $38^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ in the Atlantic (Froese \& Pauly 2022). Post-spawning M. australis arrive to the southwest of Falkland Islands waters in austral spring (October-December). In summer (January to March), most individuals are in resting condition and located to the southwest and across the north of the Falkland Islands. In autumn (April to May), M. australis occurs more commonly to the west; from May it is found mainly at the western limit of the Falkland Interim Conservation Zone (FICZ) and then migrates to spawning grounds out of Falkland Islands waters (Arkhipkin et al. 2015). Females are larger than males, with maximum sizes in the Falkland Islands fishery at 106 cm total length (TL) for females and 101 cm TL for males. Maximum age has been estimated at 19 years old (Arkhipkin et al. 2015).

Southern hake shares the Falkland Islands zone with the common hake M. hubbsi Patagonian stock; both species occur over the shelf and upper continental slope but are spatially separated, with $M$. australis mainly present to the south of $52^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ at $150-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth in colder waters, and M. hubbsi to the north of $52^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ at $100-250 \mathrm{~m}$ depth (Lloris et al. 2005; Arkhipkin et al. 2015). Data analyses around Falkland waters have shown that the two hake species can occupy the same feeding grounds by segregating spatially, temporally, and possibly in their diets (Arkhipkin et al. 2003).

Falkland Islands fisheries have reported hake catches since 1987 (Falkland Islands Government 1989); however, Southern hake was not always distinguished from common hake. Southern hake is a more commercially valuable fishery product compared with common hake (Tingley et al. 1995; Arkhipkin et al. 2015) but is taken in much lower quantities, i.e., it represents approximately $0.6 \%$ of the total reported hake catch in Falkland Islands fisheries from 2015 to 2021 (Falkland Islands Government unpublished data).

## Methods

## ICES Advice Rules

In 2020, hake was included in the Falkland Islands Government finfish stock assessment and management review conducted by MacAlister Elliott \& Partners Ltd, UK (MEP
2020). The MEP report recommended stock assessments for most commercial finfish species to be based on the ICES advice rules (ICES 2012, 2018), referencing applicable categories of data availability and quality. A category 3 assessment framework was recommended for Southern hake (MEP 2020), provided that reliable commercial landings data and abundance indices from surveys are available. Otherwise, a category 5 assessment framework can be implemented.

MEP (2020) also recommended exploring ancillary stock status information from ICES data limited methods such as the Length-Based Indicator method (LBI), which is based on combinations of catch-at-size distributions, and life-history parameters such as Linf (asymptotic length; Haddon 2001) calculated from length-age data. However, Falkland Islands Southern hake ages have not been validated (Lee et al. 2020; D. Parkyn, FIFD pers. comm.), and issues with age data reliability prevent implementation of LBI for Southern hake.

## ICES Category 5 Total Allowable Catch

A category 5 assessment framework was implemented given that this category was used for the 2022 TAC calculation (Winter \& Ramos 2021). A category 5 assessment framework is based on the average catches from the last 3 years (MEP 2020), limited to an 'uncertainty cap' of $\pm 20 \%$ (ICES 2018) with respect of the TAC set for the current year (TAC 2022 $=87.74$ t; Winter \& Ramos 2021):

$$
T A C_{-} 5_{2023}=\overline{C_{2019} \text { to 2021 }} \mid \pm 20 \%
$$

## Commercial catch and CPUE

Catch and CPUE were examined as indices of fishing on this species. Commercial fishing around the Falkland Islands was not distinguished from other parts of the Southwest Atlantic prior to 1982 and catch data by species were recorded systematically from 1987 only (Falkland Islands Government 1989). However, hake catches were not reported at the species level for most of the time series in the Falkland Islands. Subject to this uncertainty, Southern hake catch data were examined from 1987 to 2021 from the Falkland Islands (Falkland Islands

Government ${ }^{\text {a }}$; Falkland Islands Government in prep.), and Argentina (Argentine Government ${ }^{\text {b }}$; Sánchez et al. 2012; Navarro et al. 2014, 2019). Commercial catches and discard of Southern hake were examined by licence type for 2021 in the Falkland Interim Conservation Zone (FICZ).

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) was calculated as the sum of Southern hake catches divided by the sum of effort. Annual CPUE, monthly CPUE through the time series, and the monthly distribution of the CPUE in Falkland Islands waters during 2021 were examined from finfish vessels (A-, G-, and W-licences), which contributed most of the Southern hake catches. Calculations were restricted to the west of the FICZ, where Southern hake predominantly occur (Arkhipkin et al. 2015), defined as the area that includes the 'hake box' (from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to the western limit of the FICZ, and from $51^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to the northern limit of the FICZ), and directly south of the 'hake box' (from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to the western limit of the FICZ, and from $51^{\circ}$ S to the southern limit of the FICZ). A preliminary analysis determined that high and constant abundance of Southern hake occurred from January through May; therefore, annual CPUE calculations were also restricted to this range of months. Average monthly CPUE was calculated from 2015 to 2020, and separately for 2021.

## Survey biomass estimates

Biomass estimates and the spatial distribution of Southern hake were examined from joint surveys (groundfish and Patagonian squid Doryteuthis gahi pre-season surveys) carried out in February 2010, 2011, and 2015 - 2022 in Falkland Islands waters (Ramos \& Winter 2022). A trend of the biomass time series from 2010 to 2022 was calculated using LOESS (span $=1$, degree $=2$ ). Biomass ratios between the most recent February surveys (2022) and the first February surveys (2010) were estimated as a proxy of the change in biomass over time. Significance of difference and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of the change in biomass were computed from the randomized re-samples of the survey biomass estimates (Ramos \& Winter 2022).

Biomass estimates, the spatial distribution of Southern hake, and biomass ratios were also examined following Ramos \& Winter (2022) from joint surveys (groundfish and

[^0]Patagonian squid pre-season surveys) carried out during July 2017 (Gras et al. 2017; Winter et al. 2017) and July 2020 (Randhawa et al. 2020; Winter et al. 2020). The July surveys were conducted for the primary purpose of assessing common hake (Gras et al. 2017; Randhawa et al. 2020), and are presented as an additional comparative proxy for abundance patterns, with the caveat that these would likely reflect variability in the migratory timing of Southern hake.

## Length and age analyses

## Length-age relationship

The von Bertalanffy growth function (R package 'fishmethods'; Nelson 2019) was used to fit Southern hake length-at-age data available since 1995 in the FIFD database. Growth model parameters ( $\operatorname{Lnnf}, \mathrm{k}$, and $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ ) were calculated for females and males using nonlinear least square regression. However, these parameters should be taken with caution given that the quality of Southern hake age data has not been assessed (Lee et al. 2020). Variabilities of the growth model parameters were estimated by bootstrapping; residuals of the model fits were re-sampled randomly with replacement, added back to the expected lengths, and re-fit to the von Bertalanffy growth function. The $95 \%$ quantiles of 10,000 iterations were retained as confidence intervals. Inter-annual trends of von Bertalanffy Linf were calculated by LOESS (span = 1, degree = 2).

## Length and age at 50\% maturity

Lengths at 50\% maturity (L50) were calculated as the mid-point of the binomial logistic regression of maturity ogives vs. length (Heino et al. 2002). Sex and maturity were identified following the fish maturity scale by Brickle et al. (2005; modified from Nikolsky 1963): I) immature; II) resting; III) early developing; IV) late developing; V) ripe; VI) running; VII) spent; VIII) recovering spent. Maturity assignment was simplified to a dichotomous classification of 0 ) juvenile, including maturity stages I and II, and 1) adult, including maturity stages III to VIII. Southern hake L50 was calculated for females and males separate, from individuals sampled randomly under finfish and experimental (E-licence) vessels across the FICZ from January through May. Southern hake length and maturity data allowed calculating L50 from 2004 to 2021. Years with insufficient immature and mature data, and therefore with logistic models
that did not converge, were excluded. Trends of L50 were calculated with LOESS (span = 1, degree $=2$ ). Age at $50 \%$ maturity (A50) was calculated for females and males separately, by predicting age corresponding to L50 using the inversed von Bertalanffy equation.

## Catch at length

Yearly length frequency distributions, from 2004 to 2021, were examined for females and males to describe patterns in catch at length through time. Unsexed individuals were excluded from the analysis. Lengths of individuals sampled randomly and caught by finfish and experimental vessels across the FICZ from January through May (the months with higher presence of Southern hake in the area) were included in the analysis. Yearly length frequencies were compared with yearly L50 to assess if the catch is mainly comprised of immature or mature individuals.

## Catch at age

Age data were used to create an age-length key, from which ages were assigned to length data (R package 'FSA'; Ogle et al. 2022) of individuals sampled randomly in the FICZ from 2005 to 2021. Catch at age proportions were examined as a proxy for fishing pressure at each age class per year. Relative frequencies of immature vs mature age classes (corresponding to lesser vs greater than L50) in the catch were assessed for females and males separately through time. Older age classes with negligible representation in the catch were excluded.

## Natural mortality

Natural mortality (M) was calculated as an indicator to examine vulnerability of the stock. Natural mortality is the component of total mortality that is not caused by fishing, but by causes such as predation, diseases, senility, pollution, amongst other factors. Annual natural mortality refers to the proportion of fish dying during the year expressed as a fraction of the fish alive at the beginning of the year (FAO 1999), and was calculated using equation 1 following Then et al. (2015):
$\mathrm{M}=4.899 \times \mathrm{t}_{\text {max }}^{-0.916}$
where $\mathrm{t}_{\text {max }}=$ maximum age, taken as the oldest age reported in the FIFD database not considered an outlier. Then et al. (2015) recommended the use of the $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{max}}$-based estimator over other estimators based on cross-validation of prediction error, model residual patterns, model parsimony, and biological considerations.

All analyses were performed in RStudio ( R Core Team 2021).

## Results

## ICES Advice Rules

## ICES Category 5 Total Allowable Catch

ICES category 5 TAC for next year calculated as the average of the in-zone catch ( t ) of the last completed three years ( 49.27 t ):

$$
T A C_{-} 5_{2023}=\overline{95.69+48.24+3.89}=49.27
$$

limited to a 20\% cap reduction with respect of the TAC for the current year $\left(T_{2 C}{ }_{2022}=87.74\right.$ t ; Winter \& Ramos 2021) resulted in a TAC for 2023 of 70.19 t :

$$
T A C_{-} 5_{2023}=T A C_{2022}|-20 \%=87.74|-17.55=70.19
$$

Note that the year jumps from 2021 to 2023. Standard procedure is to inform next year's allowable catch with data up to the last completed year, i.e., the previous year (2021), as licencing advice must be issued while the current year is still in progress.

## Commercial catch and CPUE

Southern hake catches in Falkland Islands waters have been on average 133 t per year since 2015, representing approximately $12 \%$ of the Falkland Islands and Argentine combined annual catch (Fig. 1; Appendix I).


Fig. 1. Annual commercial catch of Southern hake in Falkland Islands and Argentine waters. Falkland Islands commercial catch data exclude experimental (E-licence) and out-of-zone (O-licence) licences from 1990; earlier than 1990 these licences were not designated.

During 2021, a total of 4.3 t of Southern hake were reported caught in Falkland Islands waters, of which 3.9 t was reported under commercial licences, i.e., excluding the experimental E-licence. Finfish G-, and W-licences accounted for 6\% and 84\% of the total Southern hake catch, respectively. Southern hake discards were $20 \%$ of the total Southern hake catch in 2021; finfish licences discarded 11\%, and experimental ( $\mathrm{E}-$ ) and calamari ( $\mathrm{C}-$, and $\mathrm{X}-$ ) licences discarded the entire Southern hake catch (Table I).

Table I. Catch proportion of Southern hake by licence type in Falkland Islands waters during 2021.

| Licence | Target species | Catch ( t ) | Catch (\%) | Discard <br> ( t ) | Proportion discarded (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | Restricted finfish | 3.615 | 84.09 | 0.348 | 9.63 |
| E | Experimental | 0.405 | 9.42 | 0.405 | 100.00 |
| G | Restricted finfish and IIlex | 0.255 | 5.93 | 0.084 | 32.94 |
| X | Calamari ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ season | 0.019 | 0.44 | 0.019 | 100.00 |
| C | Calamari $1^{\text {st }}$ season | 0.005 | 0.12 | 0.005 | 100.00 |
| A | Unrestricted finfish | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| B | Illex squid | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{F}^{\text {a }}$ | Skates and rays | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| L | Toothfish (longline) | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| 0 | Outside Falkland Islands waters | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| $S^{\text {a }}$ | Southern blue whiting and hoki | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| Total |  | 4.299 | 100 | 0.861 | 20.03 |

CPUE by finfish vessels to the west of the Falkland Islands from January through May had a statistically significant declining trend from 2016 ( $66.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) to 2021 ( $2.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) (Fig. 2).


Fig. 2. Yearly CPUE $\pm 1$ standard error of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters from 2016 through 2021, calculated from finfish (A-, G-, and W-licences) vessels from the west of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ in the FICZ (hake box and south of hake box), from January through May, with LOESS smooth $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals (LOESS; span = 1, degree $=2$ ).

Monthly CPUE by finfish vessels were examined separately from 2015 to 2020 to compare the overall trend through time with the 2021 (previous year) trend. Monthly CPUE by finfish vessels to the west of the Falkland Islands from 2015 to 2020 ranged from $6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ in September to $73 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ in March. CPUE was higher from January through May, declined over the following months and increased again towards the end of the year (Fig. 3). This pattern suggests that Southern hake is more abundant in Falkland Islands waters from summer to mid-autumn. In 2021, there was no fishing effort during January and February, and effort was higher in October and December; higher CPUE therefore was reported towards the end of the year, with December having the highest CPUE ( $34 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$; Fig. 3; Appendix II). During 2021, Southern hake were caught mainly to the southwest of West Falkland under finfish licences (Appendix III).


Fig. 3. Monthly CPUE $\pm 1$ standard error of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters for 2015-2020 (red), and 2021 (blue), calculated from finfish (A-, G-, and W-licences) vessels, with LOESS smooths $\pm$ $95 \%$ confidence intervals (LOESS; span = 1, degree $=2$ ).

## Surveys biomass estimates

 Summer surveys (February)The biomass of Southern hake during the February surveys had a statistically significant decreasing trend from 2010 to 2022, although with high variability in biomass calculations in some years, and with the lowest biomass in the time series calculated for 2019. The biomass in 2022 ( 920 t) was 18\% of the biomass in 2010 (5,097 t; Fig. 4; Appendix IV). All 10,000 out of 10,000 paired re-samples had lower biomass estimate values in February 2022 than in February 2010 (100\%), therefore the difference in biomass between 2022 and 2010 is significant at $p<0.05$. During the February surveys, Southern hake was distributed to the southwest of the FICZ and the main aggregations occurred to the limit of the FICZ (Appendix V).


Fig. 4. Southern hake biomass estimates (red dots) $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals from February surveys in Falkland Islands waters, with LOESS smooths $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals (LOESS; span = 1, degree = 2). No parallel February surveys (groundfish and Patagonian squid pre-season surveys) were conducted in 2012, 2013, and 2014.

## Winter surveys (July)

The calculated biomass of Southern hake in the July 2020 survey (171 t) was $31 \%$ of the July 2017 survey (506 t; Table II). A total of 9,554 out of 10,000 paired re-samples had higher biomass estimate values in July 2017 than in July 2020 (95.5\%), thus significant at p <
0.05. During the July surveys, Southern hake was distributed to the southwest of the FICZ, with the main aggregations near the southwest limit (Appendix VI).

Table II. Winter (July) surveys catch and effort, and biomass estimates (mean $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals) of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters.

| Year | Survey | Trawls <br> (n) | Swept area <br> ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Effort <br> (h) | Catch <br> (kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}) \end{aligned}$ | Biomass <br> ( t ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | Groundfish | 74 | 15.41 | 74 | 43.43 | 0.59 | $\begin{gathered} 506.11 \\ (216.40-717.41) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 59 | 54.71 | 114 | 17.67 | 0.16 |  |
|  | Total | 133 | 70.12 | 188 | 61.10 | 0.32 |  |
| 2020 | Groundfish ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 33 | 7.14 | 33 | 12.12 | 0.37 | $\begin{gathered} 170.70 \\ (0.89-412.27) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 55 | 98.57 | 101 | 9.10 | 0.09 |  |
|  | Total | 88 | 105.71 | 134 | 21.22 | 0.16 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ An additional one-day transect of four trawls was taken in shallow inshore waters to sample for juvenile toothfish. These four trawls were not included in analyses as their locations were not relevant to the distribution of Southern hake.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Twelve additional trawls were conducted in high seas during the July 2020 survey; these trawls were not included in the analyses.
Note that no parallel July surveys (groundfish and Patagonian squid pre-season surveys) were conducted in 2018 and 2019.

## Length and age analyses

## Length-age relationship

The length-age relationship of females and males pooled ( $n=3,810$ ) gave the values: $L_{\text {Inf }}=93.29 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{k}=0.1720$, and $\mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.3719$ years. Length and age of females $(\mathrm{n}=3,210)$ ranged from 35 cm to 107 cm , and from 2 year to 21 years, respectively. The length-age relationship of females gave the values: $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Inf}}=95.78 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{k}=0.1587$, and $\mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.6699$ years. Length and age of males $(n=600)$ ranged from 31 cm to 110 cm and from 2 years to 17 years, respectively. The length-age relationship of males gave the values: $L_{\text {inf }}=81.97 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{k}=0.2497$, and $\mathrm{t}_{0}=-0.3442$ years (Appendix VII).

Female and male asymptotic lengths (LInf) did not change significantly from 2002 to 2018 (Fig. 5). However, Southern hake age data was limited, which is reflected in data gaps in several years before 2018, and from 2019 onwards for both females and males. Limited age data may have also resulted in wide confidence intervals for most years when it was possible to calculate $L_{\text {Inf }}($ Appendix VIII).


Fig. 5. Asymptotic lengths $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{lnf}}\right) \pm 1$ standard error calculated according to the von Bertalanffy growth function for female (red dots) and male (blue dots) Southern hake from 2002 to 2018, with LOESS smooths $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals (LOESS; span = 1, degree $=2$ ). Note the gap in some years when data were limited.

## Length and age at 50\% maturity

Over the entire time series, length at $50 \%$ maturity (L50) of females was $72.63 \pm 0.40$ cm total length ( $n=3,743$ ) and age at $50 \%$ maturity (A50) was 7.2 years old. L50 of males was $63.09 \pm 0.70 \mathrm{~cm}$ total length $(\mathrm{n}=578)$ and A50 was at 5.5 years old. Therefore, immature females are inferred as $<8$ years old and mature females are inferred as $\geq 8$ years old, and immature males are inferred as $<6$ years old and mature males are inferred as $\geq 6$ years old. Annual L50 and A50 of females ranged from 58 cm and 4.3 years in 2009 to 77 cm and 8.4
years in 2004. Annual L50 and A50 of males ranged from 35 cm and 2.4 years in 2011 to 75 cm and 8.3 years in 2007. The L50 fit did not change significantly for females and males since 2004 (Fig. 6; Appendixes IX-X).


Fig. 6. Lengths at $50 \%$ maturity (L50) $\pm 1$ standard error of female (red dots) and male (blue dots) Southern hake caught in Falkland Islands waters from January through May, from 2004 through 2021, with LOESS smooths $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals (LOESS; span $=1$, degree $=2$ ).

## Catch at length

Female Southern hake ( $\mathrm{n}=3,923$ ) ranged from 27 cm to 107 cm total length, and males ( $n=667$ ) ranged from 31 cm to 98 cm total length. Females were mostly caught at sizes
smaller than L50 (Fig. 7); however, the little number of individuals per year limits our capacity to compare length frequencies vs L50, in particular for males (Fig. 7; Appendix XI).


Fig. 7. Length frequency distribution of female and male Southern hake caught by finfish ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{G}$, and W-licences) and experimental (E-licence) vessels in the FICZ from January through May. Black solid lines indicate lengths at $50 \%$ maturity (L50) from individuals caught from January through May; the binomial model for L50 did not fit the female data in 2017, and the male data in 2012, 2017, and 2021.

## Catch at age

Greater proportions of female and male Southern hake were consistently caught at sizes equivalent to ages $<8$ years old than at sizes equivalent to ages $\geq 8$ years old through
the time series (Appendixes XII-XIII). The proportion of immature (ages 1 to 7 ) and mature (ages 8 to 15 ) females, and immature (ages 1 to 5 ) and mature (ages 6 to 15 ) males in the annual catch did not appear to vary from 2004 to 2021 (Fig. 8).


Fig. 8. Catch at age of immature (Females: ages 1 to 7; Males: ages 1 to 5; left panel) and mature (Females: ages 8 to 15 ; Males: ages 6 to 15; right panel) Southern hake caught by finfish (A-, G-, and W-licences) and experimental (E-licence) vessels in the FICZ from 2004 to 2021. Southern hake ages > 15 were sparse and are not included on the figure.

## Natural mortality

Equation 1 resulted in a natural mortality (M) calculation of:
$\mathrm{M}=4.899 \times \mathrm{t}_{\text {max }}^{-0.916}=4.899 \times 21^{-0.916}=0.3013$
indicating that $30 \%$ of the stock dies per year not by fishing but due to natural causes such as predation, diseases, senility, amongst others.

## Conclusions

Southern hake Total Allowable Catch for 2023 was set at 70 t , calculated using the ICES category 5 framework weighted by inverse variance of February surveys biomass estimates and with $20 \%$ cap. This stock must be monitored carefully for various reasons:

1) The abundance of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters has decreased since 2010, as indicated by declining trends in February surveys and in July surveys biomasses, as well as by the declining trend of commercial CPUE. The decline in abundance of this stock may be associated with changes in its migratory pattern driven by changing oceanographic conditions, or by high fishing pressure beyond the FICZ; further studies may clarify the observed trends in abundance.
2) Southern hake was aged mainly at MFRI in Gdynia. As the FIFD has not assessed the reliability of Southern hake age estimates, these should be taken with caution given the status of 'uncertainty' for most finfish species aged at MFRI (Lee et al. 2020). Some years lacked age data; therefore, efforts must be made towards producing and verifying more age data to implement robust analyses, to be able to provide appropriate advice for management.

The length and age analyses show no evidence of a detrimental effect of the fishery on size of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters, with patterns of von Bertalanffy Linf, length at $50 \%$ maturity, and modal lengths that have not changed significantly through time for females and males. However, the limited biological data and the uncertainty of age data of this species must be taken in consideration; the interpretation of these patterns should therefore be cautious. Comparison of length and age at 50\% maturity and catch at length and age revealed that Southern hake are mainly caught before reaching maturity, which can reduce stock sustainability (Vasilakopoulos et al. 2011; Muluye et al. 2016; Ben-Hasan et al. 2021). Finally, natural mortality of Southern hake in the FICZ was moderate ( $\mathrm{M}=0.3013$ ); improvements to age data will allow estimating fishing mortality, to be able to assess total mortality (natural mortality + fishing mortality) on this stock.
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## Appendix

Appendix I. Annual commercial catches ( t ) of Southern hake reported in Falkland Islands (excluding E-licence from 1987 through 2021; Falkland Islands Government 2022. ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) and Argentina (Argentine Government ${ }^{\text {d }}$; Sánchez et al. 2012; Navarro et al. 2014, 2019).

| Year | Falkland Islands (t) | Argentina (t) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1987 | NA | $1,364.00$ |
| 1988 | NA | $2,326.00$ |
| 1989 | NA | $3,412.90$ |
| 1990 | NA | $4,983.70$ |
| 1991 | NA | $2,032.00$ |
| 1992 | NA | $5,368.30$ |
| 1993 | NA | $4,781.80$ |
| 1994 | NA | $1,742.50$ |
| 1995 | NA | $3,740.70$ |
| 1996 | NA | $4,062.80$ |
| 1997 | NA | $3,036.70$ |
| 1998 | NA | $3,126.30$ |
| 1999 | NA | $3,471.80$ |
| 2000 | NA | $7,034.80$ |
| 2001 | NA | $4,742.20$ |
| 2002 | NA | $5,300.80$ |
| 2003 | NA | $6,692.80$ |
| 2004 | NA | $5,922.70$ |
| 2005 | 0.50 | $3,865.30$ |
| 2006 | 23.30 | $3,418.20$ |
| 2007 | NA | $2,870.60$ |
| 2008 | NA | $3,171.50$ |
| 2009 | NA | $3,211.70$ |
| 2010 | NA | $2,754.60$ |
| 2011 | NA | $2,383.20$ |
| 2012 | NA | $3,142.92$ |
| 2013 | 0.00 | $2,632.85$ |
| 2014 | NA | $3,376.28$ |
| 2015 | 13.53 | $2,907.44$ |
| 2016 | 70.97 | $3,066.17$ |
| 2017 | 95.69 | $1,097.11$ |
| 2018 | 48.24 | $1,125.09$ |
| 2019 | 3.89 | 197.45 |
| 2020 |  | 324.81 |
| 2021 | N9 | 239.20 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

[^1]Appendix II. Monthly CPUE of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters from 2015 to 2021, calculated from finfish (A-, G-, and W-licences) vessels, with LOESS smooths $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals.
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Appendix III. Monthly CPUE of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters during 2021, estimated from finfish (A-, G-, and W-licences) vessels. There was no fishing effort during January and February under finfish licences.


## Appendix III. continued...



Appendix IV. Summer (February) surveys catch and effort, and biomass estimates (mean $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals) of Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters.

| Year | Survey | Trawls <br> (n) | Swept area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Effort <br> (h) | Catch <br> (kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CPUE } \\ & (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}) \end{aligned}$ | Biomass <br> ( t ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | Groundfish | 87 | 17.04 | 87.52 | 821.57 | 9.39 | $\begin{gathered} 5096.76 \\ (3910.63-6443.37) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 55 | 42.29 | 109.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | Total | 142 | 59.34 | 196.78 | 821.57 | 4.17 |  |
| 2011 | Groundfish | 88 | 17.21 | 88.00 | 754.44 | 8.57 | $\begin{gathered} 5223.77 \\ (3445.99-8095.63) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 58 | 40.04 | 110.63 | 18.26 | 0.17 |  |
|  | Total | 146 | 57.26 | 198.63 | 772.70 | 3.89 |  |
| 2015 | Groundfish | 89 | 16.72 | 90.17 | 323.05 | 3.58 | $\begin{gathered} 2961.07 \\ (1750.69-4350.03) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 57 | 46.90 | 111.50 | 34.35 | 0.31 |  |
|  | Total | 146 | 63.61 | 201.67 | 357.40 | 1.77 |  |
| 2016 | Groundfish | 90 | 17.64 | 91.42 | 215.41 | 2.36 | $\begin{gathered} 1971.72 \\ (1204.90-2963.73) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 56 | 54.46 | 107.92 | 30.93 | 0.29 |  |
|  | Total | 146 | 72.10 | 199.33 | 246.34 | 1.24 |  |
| 2017 | Groundfish | 90 | 18.52 | 92.00 | 178.93 | 1.94 | $\begin{gathered} 1829.09 \\ (1021.33-2478.36) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 58 | 54.09 | 117.00 | 17.31 | 0.15 |  |
|  | Total | 148 | 72.62 | 209.00 | 196.24 | 0.94 |  |
| 2018 | Groundfish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 97 | 20.47 | 96.42 | 267.96 | 2.78 | $\begin{gathered} 1453.02 \\ (978.54-1947.08) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 59 | 36.87 | 100.83 | 32.60 | 0.32 |  |
|  | Total | 156 | 57.35 | 197.25 | 300.56 | 1.52 |  |
| 2019 | Groundfish | 79 | 17.22 | 79.00 | 41.30 | 0.52 | $\begin{gathered} 425.70 \\ (88.45-577.12) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 52 | 72.70 | 97.05 | 10.00 | 0.10 |  |
|  | Total | 131 | 89.93 | 176.05 | 51.30 | 0.29 |  |
| 2020 | Groundfish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80 | 17.04 | 79.95 | 89.38 | 1.12 | $\begin{gathered} 593.71 \\ (230.37-868.25) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 59 | 86.80 | 112.52 | 5.90 | 0.05 |  |
|  | Total | 139 | 103.84 | 192.47 | 95.28 | 0.50 |  |
| 2021 | Groundfish | 80 | 16.43 | 79.48 | 240.12 | 3.02 | $\begin{gathered} 1943.34 \\ (919.34-2941.07) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 55 | 90.65 | 111.22 | 23.68 | 0.21 |  |
|  | Total | 135 | 107.07 | 190.70 | 263.80 | 1.38 |  |
| 2022 | Groundfish | 42 | 9.22 | 41.90 | 95.14 | 2.27 | $\begin{gathered} 920.22 \\ (574.62-1471.85) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | D. gahi | 60 | 86.75 | 119.08 | 15.01 | 0.13 |  |
|  | Total | 102 | 95.97 | 160.98 | 110.15 | 0.68 |  |

[^2]Appendix V. Densities of Southern hake modelled by inverse distance weighting in the FICZ, during the February 2010-2022 groundfish and Patagonian squid pre-season surveys.
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Appendix VI. Densities of Southern hake modelled by inverse distance weighting in the FICZ, during the July 2017 and July 2020 groundfish and Patagonian squid pre-season surveys.



Appendix VII. von Bertalanffy age-length relationship of female and male Southern hake collected in the FICZ.


Appendix VIII. Southern hake von Bertalanffy length-at-age parameters for curvature ( $k$ ), age at length zero ( $t_{0}$ ), and asymptotic length ( $L_{\text {Inf }}$ ), by year and sex, with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Data were not available in some years. Age was determined by MFRI staff.

| Sex | Year | n | k | $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ (years) | $L_{\text {Inf }}(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | 2002 | 369 | 0.284 (0.210-0.360) | 0.410 (-0.514-1.044) | 85.738 (82.126-91.320) |
|  | 2004 | 127 | 0.296 (0.210-0.393) | 0.044 (-0.769-0.663) | 85.615 (80.558-93.802) |
|  | 2005 | 106 | 0.219 (0.072-0.350) | -0.493 (-3.997-0.729) | 92.103 (82.887-137.336) |
|  | 2006 | 28 | 0.187 (0.084-0.326) | 0.722 (-0.887-1.715) | 103.786 (87.440-146.147) |
|  | 2007 | 71 | 0.097 (0.017-0.196) | -1.677 (-8.566-1.275) | 107.348 (88.779-269.787) |
|  | 2008 | 65 | 0.122 (0.026-0.240) | 0.833 (-2.022-2.291) | 113.698 (88.642-289.760) |
|  | 2009 | 195 | 0.148 (0.081-0.223) | -0.277 (-3.146-1.420) | 94.887 (86.761-113.623) |
|  | 2011 | 189 | 0.122 (0.027-0.236) | -1.782 (-6.015-0.249) | 111.009 (92.022-247.767) |
|  | 2012 | 203 | 0.201 (0.112-0.299) | -0.239 (-2.196-0.917) | 97.587 (89.442-116.728) |
|  | 2013 | 299 | 0.276 (0.141-0.423) | -0.070 (-2.511-1.199) | 84.766 (79.615-98.293) |
|  | 2016 | 165 | 0.121 (0.043-0.207) | -1.470 (-4.391-0.103) | 115.979 (98.139-192.339) |
|  | 2018 | 122 | 0.195 (0.122-0.273) | -0.455 (-2.542-0.826) | 95.802 (90.442-106.424) |
| Sex | Year | n | k | $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ (years) | $\mathrm{L}_{\operatorname{lnf}}(\mathrm{cm})$ |
|  | 2002 | 53 | 0.103 (0.015-0.830) | -6.274 (-16.407-2.272) | 94.562 (72.128-241.179) |
|  | 2003 | 49 | 0.281 (0.046-0.588) | -0.350 (-8.239-1.812) | 80.499 (73.969-140.223) |
|  | 2004 | 26 | 0.763 (0.136-1.979) | 2.308 (-3.299-3.384) | 74.236 (70.094-98.060) |
|  | 2007 | 22 | 0.379 (0.076-0.769) | 2.813 (-0.170-3.865) | 71.830 (63.037-133.301) |
|  | 2008 | 16 | 0.331 (0.041-0.811) | 2.305 (-5.353-4.394) | 69.961 (63.832-139.897) |
|  | 2009 | 54 | 0.390 (0.207-0.650) | 1.989 (-0.340-3.206) | 74.576 (70.622-82.070) |
| M | 2010 | 34 | 0.163 (0.024-0.374) | -0.062 (-8.906-2.989) | 92.264 (79.775-206.611) |
|  | 2011 | 48 | 0.051 (0.009-0.323) | -4.380 (-7.708-0.999) | 163.844 (84.848-628.647) |
|  | 2012 | 30 | 0.135 (0.014-0.596) | -1.813 (-9.180-2.555) | 100.940 (74.830-351.613) |
|  | 2014 | 25 | 0.160 (0.023-0.368) | -0.790 (-4.781-1.089) | 102.095 (81.062-303.680) |
|  | 2015 | 36 | 0.121 (0.056-0.222) | -0.919 (-2.840-0.539) | 116.873 (92.033-170.219) |
|  | 2017 | 18 | 0.627 (0.278-1.622) | 1.990 (-0.202-3.158) | 75.062 (68.546-83.317) |
|  | 2018 | 10 | 0.458 (0.310-0.642) | 1.997 ( 0.898-2.678) | 81.898 (78.664-85.918) |

Appendix IX. Binomial logistic regressions of juvenile (0) or adult (1) maturity ogives vs. length for female Southern hake. Red lines indicate the intercept for length at $50 \%$ adulthood, corresponding to Fig. 7. Note the gaps in some years when the model did not fit due to limited data.


Appendix X. Binomial logistic regressions of juvenile (0) or adult (1) maturity ogives vs. length for male Southern hake. Red lines indicate the intercept for length at 50\% adulthood, corresponding to Fig. 7. Note the gaps in some years when the model did not fit due to limited data.


Appendix XI. Number of Southern hake individuals sampled for length frequency distributions, corresponding to individuals caught randomly by finfish (A- and W-licences) and experimental (Elicence) vessels from January through May in the FICZ.

| Year | Females (n) | Males (n) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 26 | 8 |
| 2005 | 78 | 18 |
| 2006 | 24 | 11 |
| 2007 | 98 | 57 |
| 2008 | 56 | 16 |
| 2009 | 374 | 115 |
| 2010 | 773 | 108 |
| 2011 | 240 | 39 |
| 2012 | 711 | 76 |
| 2013 | 468 | 57 |
| 2014 | 150 | 25 |
| 2015 | 135 | 25 |
| 2016 | 324 | 59 |
| 2017 | 203 | 24 |
| 2018 | 122 | 10 |
| 2019 | 33 | 4 |
| 2020 | 30 | 7 |
| 2021 | 78 | 8 |

Appendix XII. Catch at age of female Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters by finfish (A-, G-, and W-licences) and experimental (E-licence) vessels, with LOESS smooths $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals (LOESS; span = 1, degree $=2$ ).


Appendix XIII. Catch at age of male Southern hake in Falkland Islands waters by finfish (A-, G-, and W-licences) and experimental (E-licence) vessels, with LOESS smooths $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals (LOESS; span = 1, degree = 2).



[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ http://www.fig.gov.fk/fisheries/publications/fishery-statistics
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ https://www.agroindustria.gob.ar/sitio/areas/pesca maritima/desembarques/

[^1]:    ${ }^{c}$ http://www.fig.gov.fk/fisheries/publications/fishery-statistics
    ${ }^{d}$ https://www.agroindustria.gob.ar/sitio/areas/pesca maritima/desembarques/

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ An additional one-day transect of four trawls was taken in shallow inshore waters to sample for juvenile toothfish. These four trawls were not included in analyses as their locations were not relevant to the distribution of Southern hake. Note that groundfish February surveys were not conducted in 2012, 2013, and 2014.

